DYNAMICS OF ECO-AESTHETICS IN POSTMODERN AFRICAN DRAMA: FOCUS ON AMA ATA AIDOO'S *ANOWA*

Emmanuel Ebere Uzoji PhD[1], Ufuoma Davies PhD[2] & Victor K. Olukoju[3]
[1]Department of Performing Arts and Film Studies Lead City University, Ibadan.
Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Socially Engaged Theatre (C-SET),
University of Regina, Canada.
[2]Department of Languages and Literature Lead City University, Ibadan.
[3]Department of Performing Arts, Music and Film Studies Lead City University, Ibadan.

Abstract
Ama Ata Aidoo's *Anowa* is an exploration of cultural transformation, economic ambition and ecological consequences of human actions. Rooted in Ghanaian oral tradition, the play highlights the intersection of environment, tradition and modernity. This study examines the play through the postmodernist lens of ecological aesthetics, analyzing the deployment of setting, symbolism, and character interactions to reflect environmental concerns and eco-sustainability. Drawing from ecocriticism and performance studies, the research investigates the play's portrayal of ecological disruptions caused by colonialism, capitalism and individual ambition. The study employs a qualitative methodology, using textual analysis to interpret performance aesthetics and how they relate with the discourse of ecology and preservation of the global lifeworld. The findings reveal that the play critiques the exploitation of both natural and human resources, symbolized by Anowa's tragic fate and the deterioration of communal harmony. The study further establishes that Aidoo's use of poetic dialogues, traditional storytelling techniques, and dramatic space enhances the ecological consciousness embedded in the text. The research concludes that Anowa serves as an artistic warning against unchecked economic expansion at the cost of environmental and cultural sustainability. Its contribution lies in highlighting Aidoo's ecological vision within African dramaturgy, extending beyond feminist and postcolonial readings of the text. The study recommends that future theatrical productions of *Anowa* should integrate environmental performance strategies, such as eco-friendly staging elements, not just for aesthetic purposes but to also enhance the tenets of sustainability in contemporary dramaturgy and reinforce its ecological themes. This research underscores the need for African drama to actively engage in environmental discourse, promoting sustainability through theatrical expression.

Keywords: Eco-aesthetics, Sustainability, Ecocriticism, African Drama, Postmodernism.

Introduction

The intersection of literature, performance, and environmental concerns has gained significant scholarly attention in recent years, as the global climate crisis continues to affect societies and ecosystems. Literature, particularly drama, serves as a powerful tool for reflecting societal realities, critiquing human actions, and imagining sustainable futures. African drama, rooted in rich oral traditions, has historically addressed themes of colonialism, identity, gender,

and socio-political struggles (Ogunyemi, 1988). However, environmental concerns, though present, have often been overlooked in critical discourse.

Ama Ata Aidoo's *Anowa* (1970) is a play that, beyond its feminist and postcolonial concerns, offers a critique of ecological imbalance and the consequences of unsustainable human ambition. Set in the Gold Coast (modern-day Ghana) during the 19th century, *Anowa* tells the tragic story of a young woman who rejects societal norms and chooses her own path, leading to her downfall. While most analyses of the play focus on gender and cultural alienation (Wilentz, 1992), this study explores its ecological dimensions by examining how Aidoo uses setting, symbolism, and character development to comment on environmental sustainability. Ama Ata Aidoo's *Anowa* may not address climate change in the modern scientific sense, but it offers a rich allegorical framework that resonates with themes of environmental sustainability, especially when examined through its setting, symbolism, and character development. The play is set in pre-colonial Ghana, a time when communities were more directly dependent on the land. The rural village and coastal trade routes evoke a world where survival is tied to natural rhythms. The Old Man's prologue references a cosmology in which the gods gave humans everything in moderation—a subtle warning against overexploitation. This setting becomes a backdrop for the tension between traditional ecological balance and the emerging capitalist greed embodied by Kofi Ako. Slavery in *Anowa* is more than a historical reality—it becomes a symbol of environmental and human exploitation. Kofi Ako's wealth, built on the backs of enslaved people, mirrors the unsustainable extraction of natural resources. His grand house and idle lifestyle represent a detachment from labor and land, echoing how modern societies often distance themselves from the environmental costs of their consumption. Anowa's horror at slavery can be read as a moral stance against systems that devalue life—human or ecological. The play serves as an artistic warning against the exploitation of both human and natural resources, making it a relevant text for ecological aesthetic performance analysis.

Ecological aesthetics in drama refers to the ways in which playwrights integrate environmental themes, natural symbolism, and ecological consciousness into their works. It explores how dramatic texts and performances reflect the human-nature relationship, emphasizing sustainability, environmental ethics, and ecological balance (Buell, 2005). In African drama, ecological aesthetics often draw from indigenous knowledge systems, oral traditions, and community-centered storytelling to depict the interconnectedness of humans and nature (Achebe, 1958).

Many African societies have historically maintained a deep spiritual and cultural connection with the land, viewing nature as a living entity that must be preserved (Glotfelty & Fromm, 1996). However, with the advent of colonialism, capitalism, and industrialization, traditional ecological ethics have been undermined, leading to environmental degradation. Quite a few African playwrights have responded to these issues by embedding ecological concerns in their works, using themes of land ownership, resource exploitation, and climate change to critique contemporary realities (Nixon, 2011).

In *Anowa*, Aidoo employs a unique blend of oral storytelling and modern dramatic techniques to illustrate how excessive ambition, economic greed, and the erosion of indigenous values contribute to social and environmental collapse. The land and water, central elements in the play's setting, serve as metaphors for both prosperity and destruction. Anowa's rejection of communal traditions and her husband Kofi Ako's involvement in exploitative slave trade mirror the larger theme of environmental and cultural depletion. Through performance elements such as space, dialogue, and symbolism, the play highlights the ecological consequences of human actions, making it a valuable text for ecological aesthetic analysis.

Statement of the Problem

Although ecocriticism is a growing field, ecological aesthetics has received limited attention in African drama especially in plays like *Anowa*, which are typically analyzed from feminist and postcolonial perspectives. As a result, the play's ecological dimensions remain largely overlooked, despite its rich environmental symbolism and its critique of human exploitation. This study seeks to fill that gap by examining *Anowa* through the postmodernist lens of ecological aesthetics, exploring how Aidoo's dramatic techniques convey environmental concerns.

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to:

1. examine the reflections of ecological concerns through its setting, symbolism, and narrative structure in *Anowa*.
2. analyse the relationship between human ambition, environmental exploitation, and societal decay in the play.
3. investigate performance aesthetics such as staging, costumes, and oral storytelling and their contributions to the ecological themes of *Anowa*.
4. explore the relevance of *Anowa* in contemporary discussions on environmental sustainability and indigenous ecological knowledge.

Research Questions using the research objectives highlighted above, the following questions this study seeks to answer the following questions:

1. How does *Anowa* reflect ecological concerns through its narrative, setting, and symbolism?
2. In what ways does the play critique human ambition and its impact on the environment?
3. How do performance elements, including space, dialogue, and staging, contribute to ecological aesthetics in *Anowa*?
4. What is the significance of *Anowa* in contemporary environmental discourse, particularly in relation to African ecological ethics?

Significance of the Study

This study is important because it extends ecocritical scholarship in African literature by focusing on drama a literary genre that has often been overlooked in environmental discussions. While most ecocritical work in African studies tends to concentrate on prose and poetry, this research brings attention to the unique ways African drama, particularly *Anowa*, can engage with

ecological themes. It explores how the play incorporates elements of indigenous storytelling that emphasize respect for nature and the interconnectedness of all life. Analyzing *Anowa* through the lens of ecological aesthetics, the study reveals environmental concerns that have often been neglected in favor of feminist and postcolonial interpretations. In addition, the research offers valuable insights into how stage performances of *Anowa* can adopt sustainable practices, such as eco-friendly set designs and materials. It also presents a new perspective on Ama Ata Aidoo's work, showing how her criticism of cultural and economic exploitation extends to the natural world. By placing *Anowa* within an ecological framework, the study broadens the understanding of African drama's role in environmental awareness and encourages further exploration into how literature can address ecological challenges.

Literature Review

Ecological aesthetics is an emerging field that explores the intersection between artistic representation and environmental consciousness, emphasizing how creative works shape human perceptions of nature and sustainability. It extends beyond merely depicting environmental issues, focusing instead on how artistic expression—particularly literature and drama—can evoke emotional, ethical, and cultural responses toward ecological preservation (Buell, 2005). Rooted in environmental philosophy and aesthetics, this framework posits that literary and theatrical works can influence environmental attitudes by fostering a deeper appreciation of the interconnectedness between humans and nature (Brady, 2003). The growing concerns over climate change, resource depletion, and biodiversity loss have led scholars to examine how literature and performance contribute to ecological discourse, making ecological aesthetics an increasingly significant approach in literary studies (Glotfelty & Fromm, 1996).

Ecocriticism, a related theoretical framework, provides a foundation for analyzing how literature and performance engage with environmental themes. Emerging in the 1990s as a response to the escalating environmental crisis, ecocriticism critiques the anthropocentric worldview that positions humans as dominant over nature (Garrard, 2012). Instead, it promotes an ecocentric perspective, which recognizes the intrinsic value of all living beings and highlights the consequences of environmental exploitation. Scholars such as Buell (1995) argue that literature plays a crucial role in shaping ecological consciousness by portraying nature as an active and dynamic force rather than a passive backdrop. The study of drama within ecocriticism extends this argument by examining how theatrical elements—such as setting, space, sound, and symbolism enhance ecological awareness and critique unsustainable practices (Kershaw, 2007). The notion of the "ecology of performance" suggests that the relationship between actors, audiences, and performance spaces mirrors broader ecological relationships, reinforcing the idea that performance itself is an ecological act (Arons & May, 2012).

African drama has long been a vehicle for exploring social, political, and cultural concerns, yet its engagement with environmental themes has often been overlooked in scholarly discourse. Unlike Western literary traditions, where nature is frequently depicted as a separate entity from human society, African literature and drama often present nature as an integral part of human existence (Egya, 2020). Many African cultures perceive land, water, and natural

resources as sacred, with traditional belief systems reinforcing the ethical obligation to maintain ecological balance (Achebe, 1958). However, with the advent of colonialism and the introduction of Western economic models, these ecological ethics have been significantly disrupted. Colonial exploitation led to large-scale environmental degradation through deforestation, mining, and cash-crop agriculture, displacing indigenous ecological knowledge and replacing it with extractive economies that prioritized profit over sustainability (Nixon, 2011). In response, African playwrights have integrated ecological themes into their works, critiquing the environmental consequences of colonial and postcolonial economic policies. These plays use allegory, symbolism, and character development to highlight the destructive impact of land dispossession, resource extraction, and industrial expansion on both the environment and indigenous communities (Banham, 2004).

The relationship between postcolonial ecocriticism and African drama is particularly significant, as it underscores how environmental degradation in Africa cannot be divorced from historical processes of colonization and economic exploitation (Crosby, 1986). Postcolonial ecocriticism challenges the assumption that environmental issues are purely scientific or technological concerns, arguing instead that they are deeply intertwined with political and economic power structures (Shiva, 1997). African literary scholars have examined how postcolonial literature critiques the legacy of environmental destruction initiated by colonial powers and perpetuated by modern globalization (Nixon, 2011). By incorporating ecological themes, African drama contributes to this discourse, offering alternative perspectives that advocate for a more sustainable and just environmental future (Mphahlele, 2002). The focus on indigenous ecological wisdom in African drama serves as a counter-narrative to Western notions of development, emphasizing the need to restore traditional ecological practices that promote harmony between humans and nature (Egya, 2020).

Several scholars have examined ecological aesthetics as a theoretical and practical approach to literature and performance. Brady (2003) explores the philosophical foundations of ecological aesthetics, emphasizing the ethical dimensions of artistic representations of nature. Buell (2005) highlights the role of literature in shaping environmental consciousness, arguing that storytelling can serve as a catalyst for ecological awareness and activism. Kershaw (2007) applies ecological aesthetics to performance studies, demonstrating how theatrical productions can engage with environmental issues through innovative staging, site-specific performances, and sustainable artistic practices. Other scholars, such as Heise (2008), have expanded the scope of ecological aesthetics to include global perspectives, exploring how literature from different cultural contexts addresses environmental concerns. These studies provide a valuable foundation for understanding how ecological aesthetics can be applied to African drama, offering insights into how performance can serve as a medium for ecological advocacy. However, while existing scholarship has extensively examined ecological aesthetics in Western literary traditions, relatively few studies have explored its application in African drama. This gap in research highlights the need for further investigation into how African playwrights engage with ecological themes and how their works contribute to the broader discourse on environmental sustainability.

The discourse on ecological aesthetics in African drama contributes to broader discussions about sustainability in the arts, challenging scholars to reconsider how literature and performance engage with environmental issues. As climate change and ecological crises become more pressing global concerns, the role of literature in shaping environmental attitudes is increasingly significant (Heise, 2008). African drama, with its rich oral traditions and communal storytelling techniques, offers a unique platform for ecological advocacy, using artistic expression to inspire environmental responsibility (Egya, 2020). The integration of ecological themes into performance aligns with global efforts to incorporate environmental concerns into cultural production, demonstrating how artistic narratives can influence social change (Kershaw, 2007). By deepening our understanding of how African drama contributes to environmental discourse, scholars can further explore how literature and performance serve as tools for ecological education, fostering a more sustainable future.

Synopsis

Ama Ata Aidoo's *Anowa* is a layered tragedy that explores the consequences of personal defiance, moral conflict, and emotional isolation. From the outset, Anowa is portrayed as a fiercely independent woman who refuses to conform to societal expectations. Her mother, Badua, urges her to marry a "proper" man and settle into the traditional role of wife and mother. But Anowa rejects all suitors and instead chooses Kofi Ako—a decision that shocks her family and community. Her choice is not just about love; it's a declaration of autonomy. She marries Kofi against her mother's wishes and leaves their hometown of Yebi, symbolizing a break from tradition and a pursuit of self-determined identity. This act of defiance sets the tone for the rest of the play, where Anowa continually challenges the roles imposed on her as a woman. As the couple builds a business together, cracks begin to form. Kofi Ako becomes increasingly obsessed with wealth and status, eventually acquiring slaves to expand their trade. Anowa, however, is morally opposed to slavery. She sees it as a betrayal of their shared values and refuses to enjoy the comforts it brings. This ideological divide creates a deep rift in their marriage—Anowa clings to ethical labor and spiritual integrity, while Kofi embraces materialism and social prestige. Their inability to have children adds another layer of tension. Despite Anowa's beauty and vitality, she remains childless. The community assumes the fault lies with her, but it is later revealed that Kofi is impotent. This revelation devastates both characters: for Kofi, it's a blow to his masculinity; for Anowa, it's a cruel irony that her defiance and strength have led her into a barren, joyless union. The infertility becomes symbolic of the emotional and moral barrenness of their relationship. The final act of the play is steeped in despair. Kofi, unable to reconcile his failures—his moral compromise, his broken marriage, and his infertility—takes his own life. His suicide is not just an escape but a collapse under the weight of societal expectations and personal guilt.

Anowa's response is equally tragic. Isolated, disillusioned, and spiritually unmoored, she drowns herself. Her suicide is an act of desperation, but also a final assertion of agency. She refuses to be cast aside or silenced. In death, she reclaims the autonomy that had been eroded by

betrayal, loneliness, and societal judgment. Together, these elements make *Anowa* a powerful critique of patriarchy, capitalism, and the cost of nonconformity.

Findings and Discussions

Land as a Symbol of Ecological and Cultural Identity

In *Anowa*, land is far more than just a physical resource—it represents a spiritual, cultural, and ecological entity that is essential to the identity and well-being of the people. The play is set in pre-colonial Ghana, a time when African societies primarily engaged in communal land ownership and sustainable environmental management. The Ghanaian worldview, like that of many indigenous African communities, considers land as sacred and integral to both economic survival and spiritual continuity. It is a shared resource that belongs not only to the living but also to ancestors and future generations (Achebe, 1958). This perspective enforces responsibility and sustainability, as land is not simply a commodity but a collective heritage that must be protected.

The people of Yebi, Anowa's home village, embody this ecological consciousness. Their traditions and way of life are deeply connected to the land, as they practice subsistence farming—a system that promotes environmental balance and self-sufficiency. Farming is not only about food production but also about spiritual harmony, as many African cultures believe that the land must be respected and nurtured in order to sustain life. This belief system ensures that resources are conserved and used wisely, fostering a reciprocal relationship between humans and nature.

However, *Anowa* also reveals the ways in which capitalist and colonial influences disrupt traditional ecological harmony. This disruption is particularly evident in the actions of Kofi Ako, who abandons subsistence farming—a practice rooted in sustainability—and instead adopts a capitalist economic model based on wealth accumulation and exploitation. His decision to engage in slave labour signifies more than just a shift in labour practices; it marks a fundamental break from indigenous ecological ethics. Instead of working with the land in a sustainable way, as traditional farmers did, Kofi Ako extracts wealth from human labour, severing his connection to the land.

This transition reflects the larger historical disruptions in African land use that occurred during colonial rule. European colonial economies introduced cash crop farming, large-scale deforestation, and monoculture agriculture, which exhausted natural resources and replaced indigenous farming systems with unsustainable, export-driven production (Nixon, 2011). In Ghana and other African societies, colonial authorities and local elites privatized land, stripping it from communities and reorienting its use toward economic gain rather than environmental preservation. This led to widespread land degradation, the loss of traditional agricultural knowledge, and increased social inequality.

Kofi Ako's transformation into a wealth-driven merchant, as opposed to a land-connected farmer, mirrors these colonial changes. His pursuit of economic success is individualistic, in contrast to the collective values of his people, and his reliance on slave labour signifies a loss of

ecological and moral balance. This mirrors real historical patterns in which colonial economies devalued both human life and environmental sustainability in favour of profit.

Anowa, on the other hand, resists this capitalist reorientation, sensing that something essential is being lost. Her opposition to Kofi Ako's exploitative lifestyle can be interpreted as an ecological critique, a rejection of an economic system that disregards human dignity and environmental stewardship. Through her resistance, *Anowa* highlights the tensions between tradition and modernity, between sustainable communal living and extractive capitalism, reinforcing the idea that land is not just an economic resource but a vital, living entity that must be preserved for future generations.

The Clash Between Indigenous Environmental Ethics and Capitalist Exploitation

The conflict between Anowa and Kofi Ako is not only a personal struggle but also a symbolic battle between two ecological worldviews—one rooted in indigenous environmental ethics and the other shaped by capitalist exploitation. This clash represents broader historical tensions in Africa, where colonial and post-colonial economic systems have often displaced traditional ecological values in favour of resource extraction and economic gain. Anowa's resistance to her husband's economic choices can thus be interpreted as an ecological protest against the disruption of sustainable ways of life.

The Indigenous Ecological Ethic

Traditional African communities, like the one Anowa comes from, uphold an ecological ethic that emphasizes sustainability, communal resource management, and harmony with nature. This worldview sees the land as sacred and deeply connected to human existence, not just as a tool for economic production but as a source of life, culture, and spiritual continuity. The philosophy behind indigenous environmental ethics is that land belongs to the community and must be preserved for future generations (Egya, 2020). This ethic fosters a deep respect for natural resources, where practices such as rotational farming, mixed cropping, and collective land stewardship ensure that land remains fertile and productive over time.

The community of Yebi, where Anowa was raised, embodies this tradition of sustainable environmental management. The people engage in subsistence farming, cultivating only what they need and maintaining a reciprocal relationship with nature. This economic system is not centered on excessive accumulation but rather on ensuring balance between human needs and ecological preservation. Through oral traditions, rituals, and spiritual practices, indigenous African societies pass down environmental knowledge, reinforcing the idea that nature is not to be exploited but to be respected and nurtured.

The Capitalist Exploitation Model

In stark contrast to these ecological ethics, Kofi Ako embodies the capitalist exploitation model, which prioritizes individual wealth accumulation over community welfare and environmental sustainability. Influenced by colonial economic ideologies, Kofi Ako abandons subsistence farming and turns to trade and slave labour as a means of acquiring wealth. This shift is emblematic of the historical economic transition in Africa, where colonial powers introduced

monetized economies that disrupted communal systems and promoted private ownership, cash cropping, and extractive industries.

By choosing to exploit human labour rather than engage in sustainable farming, Kofi Ako breaks away from the land, both physically and spiritually. His economic success is built on exploitation, which not only alienates him from the community but also severs his connection to nature. His decision mirrors real-life historical patterns where African societies, once self-sufficient and ecologically balanced, were forced into exploitative economic structures that degraded both the environment and human dignity.

Anowa as an Ecological Protest

Anowa's disapproval of Kofi Ako's choices can be interpreted as an ecological protest, as she recognizes that wealth gained through exploitation comes at a great cost. She refuses to conform to the system of economic greed and labor exploitation, sensing that it is not only morally wrong but also environmentally unsustainable. This aligns with modern ecological aesthetics, which argue that capitalist economies are inherently exploitative, prioritizing short-term profit over long-term ecological and social well-being (Salleh, 1997).

Anowa's resistance suggests that an economic system that exhausts people and land will ultimately lead to destruction and imbalance. Her tragic fate, as well as Kofi Ako's downfall, serves as a warning about the consequences of abandoning sustainable environmental ethics for exploitative capitalist gain. This ecological message remains highly relevant today, as modern societies continue to grapple with the devastating impact of economic greed on environmental sustainability and social justice.

Ecological Alienation and the Body as a Metaphor for Environmental Ruin

As *Anowa* unfolds, the psychological and physical deterioration of both Anowa and Kofi Ako serves as a powerful ecological metaphor. Their decline reflects the alienation from their cultural and environmental roots, reinforcing the idea that human well-being is deeply interwoven with ecological stability. Just as they become estranged from their traditional community, they also experience a rupture from the land and nature itself. Their suffering is not just personal but symbolic of the broader consequences of environmental and cultural displacement.

Kofi Ako's Sterility as a Metaphor for Ecological Depletion

One of the most striking symbols in the play is Kofi Ako's sterility, which represents more than just physical impotence—it reflects the barrenness of his wealth and, by extension, the sterility of exploitative economic practices. Unlike traditional agrarian wealth, which is cyclical and regenerative, Kofi Ako's fortune is built on slave labour and capitalist greed, making it unsustainable. His sterility mirrors the land degradation that occurs when natural resources are exploited for short-term profit rather than managed for long-term sustainability.

In traditional Ghanaian society, fertility is often associated with prosperity, continuity, and balance, both in human relationships and in nature. However, Kofi Ako's shift from subsistence farming to trade-driven exploitation severs his relationship with the land. His body, which once held the potential for life, becomes incapable of reproduction, just as land that is

overworked and mismanaged becomes infertile. This reflects real historical patterns, where colonial economic policies encouraged monoculture farming and deforestation, leading to soil exhaustion and long-term environmental damage (Rodney, 1972).

Kofi Ako's sterility is thus a warning against ecological exploitation—just as land cannot be endlessly plundered without consequence, neither can human lives be reduced to mere economic assets without resulting in moral and social decay.

Anowa's Madness as a Reflection of Ecological Displacement

Anowa's descent into mental instability is another profound ecological metaphor, mirroring the disorientation and suffering that comes from being severed from nature and tradition. Her psychological collapse is not merely the result of marital conflict but also a reflection of the spiritual and emotional cost of environmental alienation.

Ecocritical theorists argue that when societies lose their connection to nature, they experience spiritual and psychological crises, as humans are inherently tied to their ecological surroundings (Glotfelty, 1996). Anowa's tragedy is therefore not just personal—it is a symbolic representation of the larger consequences of abandoning sustainable ways of life. Her restlessness, isolation, and eventual breakdown can be read as a metaphor for the environmental and cultural dislocation caused by capitalist exploitation.

In traditional Ghanaian cosmology, harmony between people and the land is essential for social and personal well-being. By rejecting traditional values and embracing an economic system based on greed and human exploitation, Kofi Ako not only destroys his marriage but also uproots the ecological and spiritual stability that sustains life. Anowa's madness thus represents the psychic toll of environmental destruction, highlighting the inseparability of human and ecological health.

A Warning Against Unsustainable Development

The downfall of Anowa and Kofi Ako serves as an environmental warning: societies that abandon sustainable practices in favour of exploitative economies may achieve short-term wealth, but they ultimately suffer spiritual, ecological, and economic collapse. Kofi Ako's sterility and Anowa's madness illustrate that wealth gained at the expense of environmental and ethical integrity is ultimately self-destructive. Their fate is a cautionary tale, echoing the ecological consequences of deforestation, resource depletion, and cultural disintegration caused by unchecked economic greed.

By portraying their tragic decline, *Anowa* suggests that the loss of ecological consciousness is not just an environmental issue but a deep existential crisis. In an era where modern economies continue to exploit natural resources unsustainably, the play's message remains profoundly relevant: true prosperity lies not in accumulation and exploitation but in sustainability and harmony with the natural world.

Water, Land, and the Symbolism of Nature in *Anowa*

Nature in *Anowa* is not merely a passive setting—it functions as an active force that reflects both the moral and ecological conditions of the characters. The natural world serves as a mirror, illustrating how human actions disrupt the balance of society and the environment.

Through symbols such as water and land, the play critiques the historical and environmental consequences of capitalist exploitation, colonial economic systems, and the abandonment of traditional ecological values.

The Sea and Water as Symbols of Life and Disruption

Water in African literature often represents life, renewal, and spiritual cleansing, but in *Anowa*, it carries a darker significance. The sea in particular is a site of both historical trauma and ecological disruption. The Atlantic Ocean, which serves as a backdrop to Kofi Ako's trade business, is not just a vast body of water—it is an ecological witness to the transatlantic slave trade, one of the most devastating disruptions to African societies and environmental systems.

Kofi Ako's reliance on slave labour connects him symbolically to this historical atrocity. The forced displacement of African people across the ocean is a violation of both human and ecological balance. The slave trade did not just affect human populations—it disrupted agricultural cycles, depopulated villages, and introduced unsustainable economic models that prioritized mass production and resource depletion over environmental stewardship (Rodney, 1972). In this way, the sea in *Anowa* serves as a reminder of how capitalist exploitation, whether through human trafficking or environmental destruction, erodes natural and social harmony.

Water also plays a role in spiritual transformation and fate. Many African oral traditions view rivers, lakes, and seas as sacred spaces, where souls transition between worlds (Achebe, 1958). In *Anowa*, water symbolizes both a point of no return and the tragic consequences of breaking away from traditional life. Kofi Ako's downfall and Anowa's isolation are mirrored by their increasing proximity to the sea, suggesting that their actions—motivated by greed and alienation from ecological ethics—have set them on an irreversible path to ruin.

The Land and the Consequences of Ecological Alienation

Land is one of the most powerful symbols in *Anowa*, representing tradition, stability, and ecological belonging. In Ghanaian culture, as in many African societies, land is not just an economic resource but a spiritual and communal entity, tied to both ancestral heritage and sustainable agricultural practices (Egya, 2020). However, as Anowa and Kofi Ako move from the village to the coast, their journey symbolizes a deeper displacement—not just from their community, but from an ecological worldview that prioritizes balance and harmony with nature.

The shift from subsistence farming in Yebi to a capitalist trading economy on the coast mirrors historical transformations in Africa, where indigenous economic systems were gradually replaced by colonial economies focused on cash crops, deforestation, and human exploitation. Traditional farming, which allowed land to replenish itself through rotational agriculture, was abandoned in favour of export-driven monoculture farming, which exhausted soil fertility and led to long-term ecological crises (Nixon, 2011).

This alienation from the land is reflected in Kofi Ako's physical and moral decline. By prioritizing trade over land stewardship, he severs his connection to nature, leading to his sterility and ultimate downfall. In contrast, Anowa's tragedy is a warning about the dangers of abandoning traditional ecological values. Her suffering and isolation suggest that those who disconnect from their natural and cultural roots ultimately suffer both spiritually and physically.

The Environmental Warning in Anowa

The natural elements in *Anowa*—water and land—reinforce the indigenous African environmental philosophy that human survival depends on living in harmony with nature. When societies lose respect for ecological cycles and communal values, they set themselves on a path toward destruction. The play suggests that colonial and capitalist economic systems, which prioritize profit over sustainability, lead to both environmental and moral ruin.

Through its symbolism of nature, *Anowa* presents a powerful ecological critique: if humanity continues to exploit land, labour, and natural resources without regard for sustainability, it will face not only environmental collapse but also spiritual and social disintegration.

Ecofeminism and the Role of Women in Ecological Aesthetics

Anowa's defiance of patriarchal norms in *Anowa* can be examined through the lens of ecofeminism, a theory that links the oppression of women to the exploitation of nature. Ecofeminist scholars argue that colonialism, capitalism, and patriarchy are interconnected systems that subordinate both women and the environment, treating them as resources to be controlled, extracted from, and exploited (Shiva, 1989). Just as land is commodified and stripped of its autonomy under capitalist economies, women's labour, agency, and knowledge are similarly devalued. By exploring Anowa's resistance, as well as the role of women and elders in preserving indigenous ecological wisdom, the play presents a powerful eco-critical and feminist critique of exploitation.

Anowa as an Ecofeminist Figure

Anowa's rejection of both patriarchal expectations and capitalist ideals positions her as an eco-feminist figure. Unlike the other women in her community, who accept arranged marriages and traditional gender roles, Anowa insists on choosing her own path. However, her defiance is not just a rebellion against patriarchy—it is also a rejection of the capitalist economic model that Kofi Ako embraces. She refuses to participate in a system that exploits both human beings and nature.

Ecofeminist theorists, such as Vandana Shiva (1989), argue that women have historically been closely connected to nature due to their roles in agriculture, food production, and community caregiving. They are often the first to experience the consequences of environmental degradation and, therefore, the strongest voices advocating for sustainability. In this sense, Anowa's conflict with Kofi Ako is not just about marriage dynamics but also about two opposing ecological worldviews—one rooted in coexistence and sustainability, and the other in extraction and accumulation.

Anowa's resistance to slave labour and capitalist wealth accumulation aligns with the ecofeminist critique that economic systems built on domination lead to both environmental collapse and the oppression of marginalized groups (Salleh, 1997). Her rejection of Kofi Ako's values suggests that true prosperity is not measured by material wealth but by the preservation of life, dignity, and ecological harmony.

The Chorus and the Wisdom of Elders

Throughout the play, the Old Man and Old Woman serve as a chorus, voicing the traditional wisdom of the community. Their reflections highlight the loss of communal values and sustainable practices, reinforcing how women and elders often serve as guardians of indigenous ecological knowledge. In many African societies, older generations hold crucial ecological wisdom, including agricultural techniques, water conservation methods, and knowledge of medicinal plants (Egya, 2020). However, as Kofi Ako and Anowa move toward a capitalist, exploitative economy, they sever themselves from this ancestral knowledge, leading to their downfall.

Anowa's ultimate rejection by her community and her tragic fate symbolize the consequences of ignoring indigenous ecological wisdom. Just as she is cast aside for challenging patriarchal norms, the environment is also degraded when traditional sustainability practices are abandoned in favor of exploitative systems.

Ecofeminism and Environmental Justice Today

The ecofeminist themes in *Anowa* remain highly relevant in contemporary discussions of climate change and environmental justice. Across the world, indigenous women continue to fight against deforestation, resource extraction, and corporate exploitation, advocating for policies that prioritize sustainability over short-term profit. Anowa's struggle reflects a broader pattern of ecological and social injustice, where those who challenge exploitative systems are often marginalized or silenced.

By highlighting the intersections of gender, ecology, and economic power, *Anowa* serves as a compelling eco-critical text, warning against the dangers of ignoring both environmental sustainability and the voices of those who defend it. The play ultimately argues that true balance—both social and ecological—can only be achieved through respect for nature, community, and equality.

Conclusion

Ama Ata Aidoo's *Anowa* provides a rich landscape for ecological aesthetic analysis, offering insights into land ethics, capitalist exploitation, ecological alienation, and ecofeminism. Through its themes and symbols, the play critiques the disruption of traditional environmental values by colonial economies, warning of the spiritual and ecological consequences of such a shift.

By viewing *Anowa* through an ecological aesthetic framework, the study reveals how Aidoo engages with concerns about sustainability, land ownership, and environmental justice. The play serves as a cautionary tale about the dangers of economic greed, the loss of ecological balance, and the alienation from nature and community. In doing so, it provides a powerful commentary on Africa's historical and contemporary environmental struggles and the need to reclaim indigenous ecological wisdom for a sustainable future.

References

Achebe, C. (2006). *Things fall apart*. Penguin Classics.

Aidoo, A. A. (1970). *Anowa*. Longman.

Arons, W., & May, T. (Eds.). (2012). *Readings in performance and ecology*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Banham, M. (Ed.). (2004). *A history of theatre in Africa*. Cambridge University Press.

Brady, E. (2019). *Aesthetics of the natural environment*. Edinburgh University Press.

Buell, L. (1995). *The environmental imagination: Thoreau, nature writing, and the formation of American culture*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Buell, L. (2005). *The future of environmental criticism: Environmental crisis and literary imagination*. Blackwell Publishing.

Egya, S. E. (2020). *Nature, environment, and activism in Nigerian literature*. Routledge.

Glotfelty, C., & Fromm, H. (Eds.). (1996). *The ecocriticism reader: Landmarks in literary ecology*. University of Georgia Press.

Heise, U. K. (2008). *Sense of place and sense of planet: The environmental imagination of the global*. Oxford University Press.

Kershaw, B. (2007). *Theatre ecology: Environments and performance events*. Cambridge University Press.

Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Harvard University Press.

Ogunyemi, C. O. (1988). *African wo/man palava: The Nigerian novel by women*. University of Chicago Press.

Quayson, A. (2003). *Calibrations: Reading for the social*. University of Minnesota Press.

Stratton, F. (1994). *Contemporary African literature and the politics of gender*. Routledge.

Wilentz, G. (1992). *Binding cultures: Black women writers in Africa and the diaspora*. Indiana University Press.