RETHINKING THEATRE FOR DEVELOPMENT IN THE AGE OF FIFTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION: INTEGRATING HUMAN-CENTRIC TECHNOLOGY FOR INCLUSIVE CULTURAL EXPRESSION

Tomiwa, Olakolu[1] &Jimmy, Akoh Phd[2]

[1]Department of Performing Arts, Music and Film Studies
Lead City University, Ibadan
[2]Department of Performing Arts, Music and Film Studies
Lead City University, Ibadan

Abstract
As the world embraces the paradigm of the Fifth Industrial Revolution (5IR) marked by human-machine collaboration, ethical innovation, and inclusive technological advancement, Theatre for Development (TfD) must be reimagined to remain relevant, impactful, and community-driven. This paper explores how TfD can adapt to the shifting landscape of 5IR by integrating digital tools such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and participatory media platforms without compromising its core principles of dialogue, empowerment, and local agency. Grounded in a multidisciplinary theoretical framework combining Dialogic Pedagogy Theory, Human-Centered Design (HCD), and Critical Posthumanism, the study examines how technology can be ethically and creatively harnessed to enhance community engagement, foster inclusive storytelling, and address issues of access, sustainability, and representation. Through this framework, TfD is positioned as a dynamic space where tradition and innovation intersect allowing for new forms of co-creation between human participants and intelligent systems. The paper further discusses sub-themes such as digital storytelling for grassroots activism, assistive technologies for inclusivity, and AI-supported monitoring and evaluation in development theatre. It concludes by proposing a redefined TfD praxis that aligns with the values of the 5IR: ethical innovation, sustainability, and human-centric development.

Keywords: Theatre for Development, Fifth Industrial Revolution, Participatory Communication, Human-Centric Technology, Digital Storytelling, Community Engagement and Inclusive Theatre.

Introduction

The advent of the Fifth Industrial Revolution (5IR) has reshaped various sectors by integrating human creativity with advanced technological systems such as artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, and immersive media. In this context, Theatre for Development (TfD), a practice deeply embedded in community engagement, dialogue, and empowerment, faces new opportunities and challenges. Traditionally, TfD has utilized performance as a means of raising awareness, stimulating critical dialogue, and fostering social change at the grassroots level. However, with the evolving technological landscape of the 5IR, TfD must not only retain its core principles of participatory engagement and social responsibility but also adapt to the integration of new technologies that promise to enhance the reach, inclusivity, and interactivity of theatre

practices. This paper proposes a rethinking of TfD within the framework of 5IR, arguing that the fusion of digital tools such as AI, virtual reality (VR), and mobile platforms with TfD's participatory methods can create ethical, sustainable, and human-centric forms of theatre. Through an exploration of existing theoretical frameworks - Participatory Communication Theory, Human-Centered Design (HCD), and Critical Posthumanism. This paper examines how technology can be ethically harnessed to empower communities and amplify their voices, addressing issues of access, sustainability, and representation. By considering the ways in which technology can serve as a tool for empowerment rather than displacement, this paper offers a new direction for TfD in the age of the 5IR, where community voice and technology are co-creators of transformative cultural expression.

Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative research methodology, employing case study analysis, literature synthesis, and thematic analysis of key TfD practices that incorporate technology. Through examining existing examples of digital TfD initiatives, this research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of technology in promoting social change, inclusivity, and empowerment within community theatre contexts. Examples of TfD that utilize digital tools and participatory platforms will be explored. Insights will be drawn from interviews, fieldwork, and observational research of current community theatre projects integrating technology. A thematic analysis will identify patterns in how technology either enhances or diminishes participatory engagement, accessibility, and community-driven development.

Literature Review

Theatre for Development (TfD)

Theatre for Development (TfD) emerged as a participatory approach to theatre, aimed at engaging local communities in addressing socio-political, cultural, and economic issues. Rooted in the principles of dialogue, empowerment, and collective action, TfD draws on the methods of Augusto Boal and Paulo Freire. Boal's Theatre of the Oppressed (1979) emphasizes theatre as a tool for social change by encouraging participants to confront societal issues through active involvement. Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed (1970) advocates for a dialogical approach to education, which aligns with TfD's emphasis on community empowerment and dialogue. Scholars like Nogueira (2002) and Bropty (2002) have underscored the importance of TfD in development communication. They argue that TfD fosters social transformation by encouraging communities to reflect critically on their realities and to take ownership of their stories and solutions. TfD has also proven successful in addressing social justice issues, such as health awareness campaigns, gender equality, and environmental protection (Prentki & Selman, 2014). Despite its successes, scholars such as Boon & Plastow (2004) highlight the limitations of traditional TfD in terms of scalability, sustainability, and resource constraints in rural and marginalized settings. This has led to a growing interest in the integration of digital platforms and new media into TfD practices to overcome these challenges.

The Fifth Industrial Revolution (5IR)

The Fifth Industrial Revolution (5IR) builds upon the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), which was primarily driven by advances in cyber-physical systems, artificial intelligence, big data, and the Internet of Things (IoT). The core of 5IR lies in the synergy between humans and machines, where the power of human creativity and technological innovation are combined to address global challenges. The 5IR introduces an ethical approach to technology, emphasizing human-centric development, sustainability, and social equity (Schwab, 2017). While the 5IR is defined by technological innovations such as AI, robotics, virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR), its goals are aligned with inclusive development and collaborative problem-solving. Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) argue that while technological advancements can bring inequality and job displacement, they can also enable creativity and innovative solutions to social problems if managed ethically. In the context of theatre and development, the 5IR presents new opportunities for digital storytelling, where technology can enhance the reach, inclusivity, and impact of performance while promoting community agency. Similarly, Richards (2019) suggest that digital tools can provide new avenues for participatory practices in theatre, ensuring that communities are not passive recipients of technology but active collaborators in the creative process.

Theoretical Mooring

There are a number of theoretical positions relevant for studying TfD and technology. These include different theories ranging from behaviour change, to social interaction, through diffusion theories, etc. However, the ones that are considered apt and selected for this study are the Theory of Dialogical Pedagogy which is advanced and popularized by Paolo Freire in 1997, the Human-Centered Design (HCD) Theory; popularized and formalized by Don Norman in 1988 and Critical Posthumanism Theory which is a theoretical movement that developed in the late 20th and early 21st centuries.

Theory of Dialogical Pedagogy

In his theory of dialogical pedagogy and conscientisation, Freire (1997) is of the belief that "the desire to empower people could be achieved through the process of creating awareness (sensitization) and conscientisation (reflection and action), which can only be possible through the process of dialogue". This also implies reaching a level of consciousness, whereby the communities become aware of their living conditions and become willing to take decisions and act on them.

Pursuant to his theory of dialogics, Freire (1997) stated that: "the teacher and student should work together to solve problems on an equal footing, or at least without the teacher claiming absolute knowledge and an authority superior to that of a peasant". The concept of dialogue between the teacher and the student in search of solutions brings the two into social interaction (Ferlbeck, 1998), where both operate from a democratic base of equality that has two principal features: freedom and equal opportunities in social and political life. This entails people being able to think, speak and act freely, and being able to have a choice among a number of options. The second feature, is equality. This implies that people are fundamentally equal in

some important respect; and from this, according to Berlyand (2009) "… all should be treated equally in certain specified socio-political respect".

In dialogics, Freire (1998) declares, there must be cooperation amongst subjects which can only be achieved through communication in which the desired revolution or change does not seek to conquer people but to gain their adherence. In this sense, dialogue is not employed as a mere technique to achieve some cognitive results, but a means to transform social relations and to raise awareness about relationships in the society at large. Dialogue therefore, helps recreating knowledge through mutual understanding.

In a situation requiring the use of participation, the dialoguers' collaborative process will illuminate and act on reality for the solution to the problem. In other words, dialogue brings people together in a social interaction to reflect on their reality as they make and remake it. Through dialogue, according to Friere (1998) "…People reflect together on what they know and what they do not know to enable them act critically to transform reality". Consequently, it is imperative to say that when stakeholders are not involved in mutual dialogue from the beginning of any development initiative, they tend to be suspicious of the project activities and may not give their cooperation. Conversely, when dialogic communication is used to involve them in the definition of initiative, their motivation is higher.

In order to take decision over their lives, individuals would have to be socially involved in a participatory process of dialogue and active communication that give the power to decide and act upon those decisions. It is a theory of making meaning, such that individuals create their own new understanding based on an interaction between what they already know and believe and the (new) knowledge in which they come into contact with. Freire further states: "a genuine revolution aiming at eliminating the patterns of domination in the society can be achieved and legitimized only through dialogue with the people".

Arising from the above, the dialogical pedagogic theory has the following characteristics:

i. It gives attention to the individual and the community and respect for the communities' background, beliefs, and traditions.

ii. Facilitates group's dialogue, leading to the creation of shared understanding of the topic under discussion.

iii. Provides opportunities for individuals and community to determine, change or add to existing beliefs and understanding through participatory communication that are structured for the desired purpose; and.

iv. Development of the community's awareness of their own issues in the dialogue.

Embedded in the characteristics above is the desire of empowering people through that same process of awareness (sensitization) and conscientisation (reflection and action), which can only be possible through the process of dialogical interaction. Paulo Freire's pedagogy emphasizes that communication is not a one-way transfer of information but a dialogical process. Freire's theories align with TfD's focus on community engagement and empowerment. It accentuates the role of communication in development processes, particularly in how cultural expression and participatory methodologies foster critical consciousness. In the 5IR context, this theory is

especially relevant in ensuring that technology, such as AI and VR, is used in a manner that fosters community ownership and meaningful participation in the creative process.

Human-Centered Design (HCD)Theory

The HCD Theory was not propounded by a single individual but was popularized by multiple contributors' overtime, especially from the early 1980s within the fields of design, engineering and psychology. However, Donald A. Norman is often regarded as one of the foundational figures in human centered design. Human-Centered Design focuses on developing products, services, or systems with the human experience at the core of the design process (Norman, 2013). Originally rooted in design and engineering, HCD has since expanded into fields such as healthcare, education, international development, technology, and policy-making. The core idea of HCD is that design should serve people, not the other way around. It responds to a history of technology- or efficiency-driven design that often overlooked the real needs, emotions, and experiences of users.

HCD draws from:

Humanism: The belief in human dignity, autonomy, and well-being.

User-Centered Design (UCD): Especially in computing and product development.

Participatory Design: Especially in community development and policy.

Design Thinking: A broader innovation framework that shares many principles with HCD.

Key Principles of Human-Centered Design

1. Empathy: Understanding users' lives, emotions, needs, and challenges through deep immersion, observation, and dialogue. The designer becomes a learner before becoming a problem-solver.
2. Participation: Users are not passive recipients but active participants in the design process. Co-creation is critical to ensure relevance, ownership, and dignity.
3. Iteration: HCD is not a linear process. It emphasizes prototyping, testing, and refining solutions based on feedback. Failure is embraced as a learning opportunity.
4. Contextuality: Solutions are tailored to the social, cultural, economic, and physical contexts in which they will be implemented.
5. Holism: HCD considers the broader ecosystem, social systems, emotions, infrastructure, and long-term impact not just technical fixes.

In the realm of TfD, HCD can guide the integration of digital tools in a way that amplifies human creativity, rather than replacing it. By ensuring that the voices of community members are central to the creative process, HCD helps create more inclusive, ethical, and context-sensitive interventions in theatre.

Critical Posthumanism Theory

The rise of the 5IR and the increased role of machine intelligence necessitate a rethinking of human-machine relationships. Critical Posthumanism (Braidotti, 2013) challenges traditional humanist assumptions, proposing that humans and machines co-exist and influence one another in a symbiotic relationship. While the term posthumanism can refer to a variety of ideas, critical posthumanism distinguishes itself by offering a philosophical and ethical critique of humanism

and its legacy, especially in the wake of ecological crises, technological transformations, and social inequalities. Posthumanist theory draws from ecology, feminism, animal studies, AI research, and indigenous epistemologies to challenge the boundaries of knowledge and being.

In the early 1980s, thinkers like Michel Foucault, Jacques Derrida, and Gilles Deleuze contributed to the decentering of the human subject by questioning the stability of identity, knowledge, and power. Posthumanism continues this decentering by challenging the boundaries of the human itself. Developments in biotechnology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and cybernetics have rendered the boundaries between human and machine increasingly porous. Critical posthumanism rejects the notion that humans are categorically distinct or superior to nonhuman life or machines. It argues for a continuity rather than a hierarchy between species and between organic and inorganic forms. Influenced by Deleuze and Guattari, posthumanism emphasizes the idea of the human as a networked entity, part of broader assemblages of relations with other species, technologies, and ecologies. This shifts the focus from individual autonomy to interspecies dependency and co-constitution.

The "subject" in posthumanist theory is not a sovereign, rational, and autonomous individual but a fluid, distributed, and situated being. It questions stable notions of identity, agency, and even consciousness. Critical posthumanism calls for ethical consideration of nonhuman others animals, ecosystems, artificial intelligences beyond utilitarian or instrumental frameworks. Thinkers like Braidotti (2013) and Wolfe (2010) explore how a posthuman ethics can account for vulnerability, interdependence, and difference. Posthumanist texts can be highly theoretical and dense, limiting broader accessibility. Some critics argue that posthumanism may overlook pressing human social injustices (e.g., racism, poverty) in its decentering of the human. Much of the theory has roots in Western philosophy, raising questions about global applicability and epistemic diversity. While philosophically rich, the transition from theory to praxis especially in politics and ethics remains challenging.

Critical posthumanism offers a timely, radical rethinking of what it means to be human in an age of environmental crisis, technological acceleration, and ethical reconfiguration. By deconstructing anthropocentric assumptions and promoting relational ontologies, it calls for an inclusive, responsible, and situated mode of existence. It is not about rejecting the human but re-imagining the human condition beyond the narrow confines of humanist logic toward a more entangled and accountable world. In TfD, this approach would reframe the role of technology from being a tool for control to a co-creator that augments the human experience. It opens up possibilities for AI-assisted dialogue, interactive performances, and augmented storytelling.

Technology in Theatre

Technology in theatre is not a new phenomenon. Historically, technology has been used to enhance the visual and soundscapes of performances. However, the 5IR introduces a deeper level of interaction between human and machine, allowing for real-time participation, interactive media, and immersive experiences. Edum (2020) has examined the role of technological mediation in contemporary theatre, arguing that technologies such as projection mapping,

motion-capture, and augmented reality create multi-dimensional environments where the boundaries between performer and audience become fluid.

However, scholars like Francis (2011) have noted the tension between technological innovation and community engagement in participatory theatre. The concern is that technological advances might overwhelm the human-centric nature of TfD, turning the process from a dialogue into a spectacle controlled by technology.

Case Studies

1. The Use of Mobile Platforms in TfD: The "Text Me, I'm Dying" Project

The "Text Me, I'm Dying" (Project Masiluleke) HIV awareness SMS initiative (2017) in South Africa used mobile phones to engage young people in HIV/AIDS prevention theatre. Busari (2008) reports that through a collaborative text messaging platform, the project allowed youth to interact with the performance, make decisions about the storyline, and contribute to the discussion around health and social issues. This project exemplified how digital platforms can be integrated into TfD "to increase audience interactivity and engagement, while still retaining the core values of community empowerment and participatory action" (Lasswell, 1994, p. 23). The use of mobile technology in this context also allowed the project to overcome geographical barriers, expanding its reach to rural areas and ensuring inclusivity.

2. Virtual Reality in TfD: "The Immersive Theatre of Tomorrow"

A more recent example is the use of Virtual Reality (VR) in TfD for storytelling and community engagement. In "The Immersive Theatre of Tomorrow"; a project in India explored how VR could be used to create immersive experiences that allow community members to enter and interact with the world of the play (Sachdeva, 2021). VR was used to depict various social issues (e.g., caste discrimination, gender inequality), where the audience was placed in the shoes of the oppressed. By employing this technology, the project enhanced "the empathy of participants, encouraging deeper reflection on societal challenges" (Oakley, 1991, p. 62). Furthermore, VR allowed for a level of customization where community members could influence the outcome, thus reinforcing the interactive nature of TfD.

3. AI-Assisted Scriptwriting in TfD: "The Digital Playwright"

In a groundbreaking Theatre for Development (TfD) initiative in Nigeria, a community-based theatre project known as "The Digital Playwright" utilized artificial intelligence (AI) to assist in the creation of scripts that reflected local social issues. This project, spearheaded by collaboration between local theatre practitioners, AI developers, and community members, sought to combine traditional community storytelling with the cutting-edge capabilities of AI, ensuring that the technological tool complemented, rather than replaced, human creativity.

The core objective of the project was to demonstrate how AI could serve as a collaborative tool in the scriptwriting process, enabling community members to actively engage in the creation of narratives that directly addressed the social challenges they faced, such as gender inequality, youth unemployment, and political corruption. In the traditional TfD model, community members would generally devise their own scripts based on shared lived experiences. However, the use of AI created "pocket-friendly theatre" in Nigeria (Edum, 2020) which allowed

participants to input basic ideas, themes, or personal experiences, which the AI system then analyzed to suggest dialogue structures, plot developments, and character interactions that aligned with the input.

Process and Implementation

i. Workshops and Training: The first phase of the project involved workshops where community members were trained on how to use the AI platform. These workshops emphasized that AI was not a replacement for their own creative agency but a tool for enhancement. The AI system was specifically designed to integrate local dialects, cultural nuances, and the specific socio-political context of the community, making the suggested scripts culturally appropriate and relevant.

ii. Input and Co-Creation: Participants provided narrative inputs based on their personal stories, community challenges, and aspirations. They could share ideas related to real-life issues, character motivations, and desired plot outcomes. The AI system, trained on vast databases of stories, analyzed these inputs and generated suggestions for structuring the narrative. Participants could then edit, refine, or discard these AI-generated suggestions, ensuring that the final script was authentically community-driven. The use of AI allowed for a more dynamic and fluid process of co-creation, where both human participants and the machine were collaborators in the storytelling process.

iii. Collaborative Scriptwriting: One key feature of the AI system was its ability to suggest multiple versions of a particular scene or dialogue, offering the community members a range of creative options (Edum, 2020). For example, if a community member suggested a character being marginalized due to gender discrimination, the AI could offer multiple ways in which this issue could be addressed, such as through confrontational dialogue, symbolic action, or humorous intervention. This diversity of options empowered the participants to choose the direction they felt best suited their narrative and message, while the AI acted as a creative partner rather than a prescriptive force.

iv. Performance and Feedback: Once the script was finalized, the community performed the play in local theatres, schools, and public spaces. The AI-assisted scripts were tested in real-world performances, allowing the creators to observe how the audience responded to the story. The feedback from the audience was then analyzed, and the AI was updated to improve its suggestions for future projects. This iterative process ensured that the community remained at the heart of the creation, while also benefiting from the efficiency and creative suggestions offered by AI.

Impact and Evaluation

i. Community Empowerment: The project demonstrated how AI could be used to empower rather than marginalize local voices. By enabling community members to engage deeply in the creative process, AI facilitated the co-creation of narratives that were both locally relevant and innovative. Rather than being passive consumers of technology, the participants became active collaborators in the technological process, maintaining control over the final creative product.

This aligns with the principles of Human-Centered Design (HCD), where the human experience remains central to the technology's application.

ii. Enhanced Creativity and Efficiency: The integration of AI allowed for enhanced creativity by providing participants with new ways of structuring and exploring their narratives. AI helped overcome traditional limitations in scriptwriting, such as writer's block or structural issues. It suggested innovative plot twists, alternative character developments, and unique interactions, pushing participants to think outside the box. Simultaneously, the AI platform's ability to process vast amounts of data in a short time made the scriptwriting process more efficient, saving time and effort for the community members.

iii. Cultural Sensitivity and Ethical Considerations: A critical aspect of the project was ensuring that the AI system was culturally sensitive and able to incorporate local idioms, languages, and traditions into the narrative structure. This was achieved through localization: the AI was trained on data sets from local folklore, theatre performances, and oral histories, allowing it to suggest dialogue that resonated with the community's values. Furthermore, ethical considerations, such as the ownership of ideas and the right to edit AI-generated content, were discussed in the workshops to ensure that the AI acted as a partner in the creative process rather than a tool that dominated the narrative.

iv. Scalability and Sustainability: One of the challenges of traditional TfD is the sustainability and scalability of projects, especially in marginalized or rural areas. The AI-assisted scriptwriting project provided a scalable model by using digital platforms that could be accessed remotely. This eliminated the need for physical resources like printed materials or expensive workshops, opening up the possibility for broader reach. The success of the project could pave the way for similar technology-integrated TfD initiatives across different regions in Nigeria and beyond, where communities could harness AI to create locally relevant performances addressing their unique socio-political issues.

4. ***The Mobile Theatre Project***

In Nigeria, several TfD projects have successfully integrated mobile phones and SMS platforms for community engagement. One such initiative was the Mobile Theatre Project; a cinema project which *Shades of Us* (2025) launched to tackle issues like HIV/AIDS awareness, gender-based violence, and youth unemployment through interactive mobile phone theatre. In this project, communities were provided with mobile platforms that allowed them to interact with performances via text messages, vote on story outcomes, and share their own experiences. The project used mobile phones as a means to engage community members who may not have access to other forms of technology. By using SMS-based theatre, the project allowed local communities to engage in important social issues, while also allowing them to co-create the narratives, similar to the AI-assisted model described earlier. This initiative emphasized participatory communication, a core principle of TfD, by allowing audience members to influence the direction of the performance and engage in a dialogue about the issues presented. SMS theatre was especially valuable in rural areas where traditional theatre forms may not be as easily accessible.

5.The "Digital Storytelling for Social Change" Initiative (2016)

A Digital Storytelling for Social Change initiative was launched by the African Storytellers Network in collaboration with local theatre groups in Nigeria. The project used social media platforms, such as YouTube and Facebook, to create and distribute short stories addressing pressing social issues (Ubuntulist, 2025). Community members were invited to participate in digital storytelling workshops where they learned how to use smartphones and digital editing software to tell their personal stories. These stories were then shared online, engaging broader audiences and promoting discussions on topics like youth activism, gender equality, political participation, and cultural heritage. This initiative combined traditional storytelling methods with modern technology, allowing community members to actively participate in shaping and sharing their own narratives. It also provided a platform for young Nigerians to express themselves creatively and socially, giving them an opportunity to use technology for advocacy.

6. The Viral Theatre

The rise of social media has been pivotal in modernizing the way communities interact with and create theatre. In Viral Theatre, a project conducted by Nigerian theatre practitioners and community organizers, social media platforms like Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp were used to facilitate discussions, share live performances, and involve communities in real-time dialogue. In this project, short theatre performances were streamed live on social media, and the audience was invited to share their thoughts, feedback, and solutions to the issues raised. According to Mark & Smith, (2021), one of the social-media theatre piece tiled *Corona Palava* was performed and shared online during Nigeria's COVID-19 lockdown.

The project aimed to engage a younger generation of Nigerians in social action through the power of online theatre. The integration of social media into TfD allowed the theatre practitioners to reach a broader audience, especially urban youth who are active on these platforms. The project also demonstrated how technology could democratize theatre, enabling even those who might not attend traditional performances to participate and interact with the content.

7. "e-Theatre: Digital Plays and Social Advocacy" by The National Theatre of Nigeria

In a collaboration with digital theatre companies and technology partners, the National Theatre of Nigeria has ventured into the use of digital plays as a method of social advocacy. This initiative, known as e-Theatre, involves staging virtual performances that focus on national issues such as corruption, gender equality, and environmental sustainability (Ameh & Ugwu, 2023, p. 2). These virtual performances are streamed on online platforms, allowing audiences from across Nigeria to attend the theatre without leaving their homes. The project also uses interactive elements, such as polls and online discussions, to involve the audience in the performance, inviting them to suggest changes to the plot or voice their opinions on the issues raised.

The Future of Theatre: Immersive Experiences with Virtual Reality (VR)

Ameh and Ugwu (2023) argue that platforms like TikTok and Instagram have reshaped Nigerian theatre by creating new viral stars and participatory audiences. However, a more recent

trend in digital theatre in Nigeria is the incorporation of Virtual Reality (VR) into the performance. Several Nigerian theatre practitioners have experimented with VR technologies to create immersive experiences for audiences. In these performances, the audience could experience the story from within the world of the play, interacting with characters and making decisions that influence the plot. One notable project is a collaboration between Nigerian theatre artists and VR developers, where cultural stories were brought to life through immersive environments (Abe and Abe, 2024, p. 21). These performances have addressed topics such as cultural identity, migration, and the role of technology in modern society. The use of VR in this case was meant to enrich the storytelling experience, providing the audience with a sense of empathy and emotional connection to the characters and themes.

Challenges and Opportunities

While these projects illustrate the potential for digital technology to transform TfD in Nigeria, they also highlight some challenges. The digital divide is still a significant issue, particularly in rural areas where access to smartphones and the internet may be limited. Additionally, there are concerns about cultural appropriation and the risk that commercial technologies may overshadow traditional art forms. However, the continued integration of digital technologies into TfD offers an exciting opportunity to expand the reach of theatre for development. It allows for greater inclusivity and participation, especially among younger audiences who are accustomed to engaging with digital media. As these technologies evolve, they also present new opportunities for creativity and storytelling, enabling communities to use innovative tools to address local social issues in meaningful ways.These real-world examples from Nigeria show how digital technology can be harnessed to enhance the impact of Theatre for Development initiatives, making them more interactive, inclusive, and scalable.

Conclusion

This case study suggests that AI, when used ethically and responsibly, can be a valuable tool in Theatre for Development, offering new ways for human creativity and technology to intersect in meaningful, community-centered ways. It also challenges the traditional notion of technology as something external to the creative process, demonstrating how machines can work alongside humans to enrich and expand the scope of theatre for social change. The transition into the 5th Industrial Revolution marks a pivotal shift in global development discourse, emphasizing human-centric technology, empathy, and sustainability. Within this context, rethinking Theatre for Development (TfD) becomes not only necessary but urgent. Traditional TfD methods often rooted in face-to-face engagement and localized performance must evolve to remain relevant in a rapidly digitizing world. By integrating inclusive, accessible technologies such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality, virtual reality, and mobile-based storytelling, theatre can expand its reach and deepen its impact. These tools, when ethically and thoughtfully applied, have the potential to elevate marginalized voices, democratize artistic expression, and foster broader participation across gender, class, age, and ability lines.

Furthermore, the creative essence of TfD aligns with the values of the 5th Industrial Revolution: co-creation, emotional intelligence, and the empowerment of communities. As such,

the fusion of digital innovation and participatory performance can catalyze a new era of inclusive cultural expression where the community is not only the subject but the co-author of its developmental narrative. Moving forward, TfD must evolve to leverage the power of digital tools to foster collaborative storytelling, participation, and social transformation, all while adhering to its foundational principles of empowerment and grassroots development.

Recommendations

1. Practitioners and institutions should leverage technologies that prioritize empathy, accessibility, and interactivity—such as AI-enabled storytelling, digital avatars for the hearing-impaired, and participatory video platforms—to foster inclusive engagement.

2. Continuous digital literacy training for theatre artists, community facilitators, and development workers is vital. Such training should emphasize both technical skills and ethical considerations in digital storytelling.

3. There is need to encourage partnerships between theatre practitioners, technologists, sociologists, and educators to co-create tools and methods that resonate with community needs and cultural contexts.

4. Digital tools used in TfD must reflect and respect the cultural values, languages, and realities of local communities to avoid cultural imperialism or exclusion.

5. Governments and NGOs should fund the development and distribution of affordable mobile and offline-compatible technologies to ensure that rural or low-income populations are not digitally excluded.

6. There is need to establish frameworks to measure how technology-enhanced TfD influences behavior change, cultural participation, and policy engagement. This data can guide best practices and future interventions.

References

Abe, O. T., & Abe, A. O. (2024, August 26). "Attaining Pedagogical Skills Towards the Digitalisation of Theatre Practice: A challenge to the Nigeria Theatre Scholar". *Advance Journal of Current Research*, 9(8), 15–30. Retrieved June 22, 2025, from https://aspjournals.org/Journals/index.php/ajcr/article/view/749

Ameh, D. A., & Ugwu, A. E. (2023). "The viability of social media theatre in Nigeria and the proliferation of actors and audience in cyberspace". *Nigeria Theatre Journal*, 23(1–2). https://doi.org/10.12345/ntj.2023.v23i1-2.???

Berlyand, I.E. 'Puzzles of the number: Dialogue in the early grades of the school of the Dialogue of cultures'. *Journal of Russian & East European Psychology,* 47(1), pp 61-95, 2009.

Boal, Augusto. *Theatre of the Oppressed* (Charles A. & Maria Odilia Leal Mc Bride. Trans). New York: Theatre Communications Group, Inc, 1979 (original work publishedin 1974)

Boon, R., & Plastow, J. (Eds). (2004). *Theatre and Empowerment.* Cambridge University Press.

Braidotti, Rosi. (2013). *The Posthuman*. Polity Press.

Bropty, J. (Ed). *Social Constructivist Teaching: Affordances and Constraints*. Oxford, Uk: Elsvier Science, Ltd, 2002.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014) *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies.* W.W. Norton & Company.

Busari, S. (2008). Texts used to tackle South Africa HIV crisis. CNN. Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://edition.cnn.com/2008/HEALTH/12/01/hiv.text.messages/index.html

Wolfe, C. (2010). *What is Posthumanism*. University of Minnesota Press.

Edum, S. (2020). Creating a pocket-friendly theatre in Nigeria through social media: An experiment with Ahmed Yerima's The Sisters video upload. International Journal of Culture and History, 7 (1), 78. https://doi.org/10.5296/ijch.v7i1.17221

Francis, P. (2011). *Puppetry. A Reader in Theatre Practice.* Bloomsbury Academic.

Freire, P. (1997). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (Revised ed.). New York, NY: Continuum, 1997.

Freire, P. *The Politics of Education* (trans) Donald Macedo. NewYork: Bergin and Garvey, 1985.

Fierlbeck, K. *Globalising Democracy: Power, Legitimacy and the Interpretation of Democratic Ideas*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1998.

Lasswell, H. (1994). 'The Structure and Function of Communication in Society'.In L. Bryson Ed.). *The Communication of Ideas* New York, NY: Harper, pp 37-51, 1994.

Mark, T. G., & Smith, P. (2021). "Theatre on social media in post-COVID-19 Nigeria: Segun Adefila's Corona Palava as a virtual performance". *Research in Drama Education*, 27(4), 550–558. https://doi.org/10.1080/13569783.2021.1997582

Nogueira, P.M. 'Towards a Poetical Correct Theatre For Development: A Dialogical Approach'. (Unpublished Ph.D Thesis), 2002.

Norman, D.A. (2013). The Design of Everyday Things: Revised and expanded Edition. MIT Press

Oakley, P. (1991). *Projects with People: the Practice of Participation in Rural Development,* Geneva: ILO Publication, 2000.

Prentki, T. & Selman, J. *Popular Theatre in Political Culture. Britain and Canada in Focus.* Bristol, UK , Portland and, USA: Intellect TM, 2003.

Richards, P. (1985). *Indigenous Agricultural Revolution.* Cambridge University Press.

Sachdeva, M. (2021). An immersive theatre experience is being staged at a rundown building in Delhi. Homegrown. https://homegrown.co.in/homegrown-explore/an-immersive-theatre-experience-is-being-staged-at-a-rundown-building-in-delhi

Schwab, K. (2017). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution.* Crown Business.

Shades of Us. (2025). Mobile Cinema Project: Shades of Us storytelling initiative for African people [Webpage]. Shades of Us Africa. https://shadesofusafrica.org/mobile-cinema-project

Ubuntulist. (2025, January 2). Empowering Voices: A Digital Storytelling Initiative for Social Change World Pulse. https://www.worldpulse.org/story/empowering-voices-a-digital-storytelling-initiative-for-social-change-68106