

# **The Panorama of Morocco Versus Northern Sahara Conflict Reality**

**Dominic Marcus Kenule<sup>1\*</sup> & Okpala Nkiru Ruth<sup>2</sup>**

1. Research Fellow-Directorate of Academic Research and Analytical Support (DARAS), National Defence College, Nigeria; [Marcom52@gmail.com](mailto:Marcom52@gmail.com); +2348060718175 Abuja.
2. Research Fellow-Department of Conflict, Peacekeeping and Humanitarian Studies (DCPHS), [okpalankiru@ymail.com](mailto:okpalankiru@ymail.com); +2348086515952

## **Abstract**

The Western Sahara conflict, a protracted territorial dispute between Morocco and the Polisario Front, encapsulates colonial legacies, competing nationalisms, and geopolitical rivalries, destabilizing the Maghreb. Originating from Spain's 1970s decolonization, it juxtaposes Morocco's historical sovereignty claims over the resource-rich territory against the Polisario's pursuit of Sahrawi self-determination through the Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic (SADR). Employing a qualitative historical-analytical methodology, this study utilizes thematic content analysis, comparative scrutiny, and critical discourse analysis of UN reports, peer-reviewed journals, and 2025 media to unpack layered causalities and power constructs. Adopting a realist framework, it interrogates the security dilemmas, strategic interests, and power dynamics perpetuating the impasse. The research traces the conflict's trajectory from colonial entrenchment to decolonial fractures, analyzes recent escalations—including intensified drone warfare, cyber incursions, and Western endorsements of Moroccan autonomy—and evaluates regional ramifications, notably exacerbated Algeria-Morocco tensions marked by border closures, economic boycotts, and proxy escalations. Policy prescriptions advocate multilateral diplomacy, phased demilitarization, and economic incentives to transcend zero-sum territorial logic. By illuminating material drivers like phosphate wealth and systemic anarchy, this study enriches debates on post-colonial statecraft and mediation efficacy in 2025's multipolar flux, offering nuanced insights into state-centric power maximization.

**Key Words:** Conflict, Territorial Dispute, National Interest, Colonialism, Western Sahara.

## **Introduction**

The Western Sahara conflict, frequently designated as Africa's "last colony," represents a quintessential case of protracted territorial contention that has profoundly shaped North African geopolitics for over half a century. This enduring dispute pits the Kingdom of Morocco, which claims historical and cultural sovereignty over the vast desert expanse, against the Polisario Front, the vanguard of Sahrawi nationalism advocating for the establishment of an independent Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic (SADR). The conflict's origins are deeply embedded in the turbulent decolonization processes of the mid-20th century, particularly Spain's hasty withdrawal from its Saharan protectorate in 1975, which triggered the infamous Madrid Accords. These accords, ostensibly a tripartite agreement among Spain, Morocco, and Mauritania, effectively partitioned the territory—Morocco annexing the northern two-thirds and Mauritania the southern portion—while systematically excluding the Sahrawi populace from any consultative role, thereby igniting a fierce guerrilla insurgency (Zunes & Mundy, 2010). Morocco's irredentist narrative, invoking pre-colonial allegiances and the expansive vision of a "Greater Morocco" that encompasses not only Western Sahara but also parts of Mauritania, Algeria, and Mali, directly confronts the Polisario's liberationist ideology,

which draws sustenance from Algeria's anti-imperialist posture and pan-Arab solidarity. This ideological and territorial clash precipitated the 1975–1991 "Sand War," a brutal campaign of attrition that resulted in an estimated 10,000–16,000 deaths, widespread displacement, and the entrenchment of a militarized frontier symbolized by Morocco's 2,700-kilometer sand berm, a fortified barrier laced with millions of landmines (Hodges, 1983).

The 1991 United Nations-brokered ceasefire, formalized through Security Council Resolution 690, marked a tentative pivot toward peaceful resolution by deploying the United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara (MINURSO). Tasked with verifying voter rolls and overseeing a self-determination plebiscite allowing Sahrawis to choose between independence and integration with Morocco, MINURSO's mandate has been repeatedly extended amid chronic implementation failures. Central to this paralysis are irreconcilable disputes over voter eligibility: Morocco insists on including hundreds of thousands of settlers relocated since 1975 to alter demographic realities, while the Polisario Front demands a roster limited to the 1974 Spanish census's 74,000 indigenous Sahrawis, a stance rooted in international law's *uti possidetis* principle preserving colonial borders (Shelley, 2004). These standoffs, compounded by Morocco's strategic exploitation of the territory's phosphate reserves—accounting for 80% of global supply and generating over \$1.5 billion annually—and potential offshore hydrocarbons, have transformed what was envisioned as a transitional mechanism into a *de facto* endorsement of the status quo.

At the heart of this study lies a pressing statement of the problem: the inexorable deepening of this geopolitical stalemate, accelerated by the November 2020 rupture of the ceasefire at the Guerguerat border crossing, which unleashed a cascade of low-intensity hybrid warfare tactics, including over 250 Polisario drone incursions and retaliatory Moroccan airstrikes that have claimed at least 160 civilian lives since 2021 (International Crisis Group, 2025a; Human Rights Watch, 2025). Morocco's "economic diplomacy"—manifest in \$3.5 billion infrastructure investments and the proliferation of foreign consulates in Laayoune and Dakhla, has garnered tacit Western endorsements, such as the United Kingdom's June 2025 affirmation of the 2007 autonomy plan and the United States' April 2025 designation of it as the "sole basis" for negotiations, further eroding the referendum's viability (Reuters, 2025a; ZIF Berlin, 2025). Meanwhile, over 173,000 Sahrawi refugees endure squalid conditions in Algeria's Tindouf camps, plagued by 40% child malnutrition rates, restricted access to secondary education, and vulnerability to flash floods, while in Moroccan-controlled areas, pro-independence dissent faces draconian suppression through arbitrary arrests and digital surveillance (Harvard Human Rights Program, 2025). These humanitarian exigencies are inextricably linked to broader geopolitical vortices: U.S. strategic pivots toward Rabat for counterterrorism and migration control, France's vested interests in phosphate extraction,

and Algeria's proxy bolstering of the Polisario, which collectively underscore the bankruptcy of liberal institutionalism in adjudicating raw power asymmetries (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025).

This research is animated by three interlocking objectives designed to navigate these complexities with analytical precision. First, it seeks to meticulously delineate the historical and structural antecedents of the dispute, excavating colonial impositions and decolonial schisms that birthed enduring grievances. Second, it endeavours to vivisect contemporary vicissitudes through a realist optic, foregrounding the imperatives of state-centric power aggregation, relative gains calculus, and perennial security dilemmas that propel escalatory spirals. Third, it proffers empirically grounded policy architectures that synergize diplomatic forays with humanitarian safeguards and economic inducements, fostering pathways beyond binary independence-or-integration dichotomies. Anchoring these pursuits are two pivotal research questions: In what manner do the realist axioms of systemic anarchy and relative power maximization elucidate the tenacity of Morocco's territorial entrenchments and Algeria's counter-hegemonic manoeuvres within the flux of 2025's diplomatic realignments? And, what assemblages of multilateral coercion, confidence-building protocols, and resource-sharing incentives might galvanize a durable denouement, forestalling contagion into the volatile Sahel theatre?

This inquiry is strategically positioned at the confluence of International Relations discourses on intractable conflicts and post-colonial territorial ontologies, augmenting antecedent scholarship—such as critiques of MINURSO's procedural inertias—by infusing 2025 empirics on emergent hostilities, cyber-domain skirmishes, and alliance transmutations (Taylor & Francis, 2025). Where prior analyses have privileged constructivist deconstructions of Sahrawi identity or liberal paeans to UN mediation, this study elevates realism's diagnostic potency, unmasking how tangible sinews—Morocco's phosphate windfalls and Algeria's gas pipeline leverage—interlock with anarchic voids to perpetuate irredentist fervor. In doing so, it bridges theoretical abstraction with praxis-oriented counsel, equipping policymakers to chart a multipolar Global South where great-power intercessions, from Abraham Accords aftershocks to BRICS encroachments, redefine conflict resolution's contours (Opinio Juris, 2025). By synthesizing historical depth with prognostic acuity, this work not only chronicles the conflict's inexorable grind but also illuminates fissures amenable to concerted intervention, thereby contributing to the scholarly edifice on how decolonial spectres haunt contemporary sovereignty contests.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This investigation deploys a qualitative historical-analytical methodology, privileging hermeneutic profundity to disentangle the intricate tapestries of causality, contingency, and contestation that underpin the Western Sahara imbroglio. Diverging from positivist paradigms that quantify conflict metrics through econometric models or

survey data, this approach embraces narrative reconstruction and interpretive exegesis, consonant with canonical methodologies in conflict resolution and International Relations scholarship where the elucidation of ideational and structural dialectics demands contextual immersion (Taylor & Francis, 2025). At its core, the method interrogates the conflict as a palimpsest of layered historical inscriptions, wherein colonial erasures overlay pre-colonial nomadisms, decolonial aspirations intersect with neocolonial extractions, and contemporary hybrid warfare refract through prisms of global hegemony. This epistemological stance facilitates a diachronic traversal, from Spanish protectorate machinations to 2025's drone-inflected escalations, while synchronically juxtaposing actor agency against systemic constraints, thereby mitigating reductionist fallacies that isolate events from their discursive ecosystems.

Data accrual is rigorously circumscribed to secondary repositories, eschewing primary fieldwork amid the territory's inaccessibility and securitization, to encompass a tripartite corpus: (1) peer-reviewed exegeses from repositories such as JSTOR, Scopus, and Taylor & Francis, spanning monographs on decolonial nationalisms and journal articles dissecting realist applications to African disputes; (2) institutional policy artifacts, including UN Security Council resolutions (e.g., extensions of MINURSO's mandate), International Crisis Group dossiers, and African Union communiqués that chronicle mediation vicissitudes; and (3) contemporaneous journalistic dispatches from venerated outlets—Al Jazeera, Reuters, El País, and Human Rights Watch—temporalized to 2025 publications to encapsulate post-ceasefire volatilities like the June Algerian truck strike and September visa impositions (Al Jazeera, 2025; Maghrebi, 2025; LSE Blogs, 2025). This curation yielded over 150 artifacts, purposively sampled for thematic salience and source diversity to equilibrate pro-Moroccan, pro-Sahrawi, and neutral perspectives, thereby attenuating confirmation biases inherent in polarized narrations.

Analytical orchestration proceeded through a triune apparatus: iterative thematic content analysis, wherein NVivo-facilitated coding unearthed recurrent motifs—securitization of phosphates, alliance disequilibria, institutional anomia—quantitatively mapping their salience across temporal junctures while qualitatively probing their ideational freight; comparative case dissection, arraying Moroccan autonomist stratagems against Algerian proxy sustainment vis-à-vis analogous disputes (e.g., Cyprus or Kashmir) to distil generalizable patterns of realist self-help; and critical discourse analysis, excavating official lexicons—from Rabat's "Southern Provinces" euphemisms to Algiers' "solidarity" invocations—for hegemonic maneuvers that naturalize power asymmetries (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025). Triangulation across modalities—historical, thematic, discursive—fortified inferential robustness, with inter-coder reliability checks (Krippendorff's alpha > 0.85) ensuring replicability. Reflexivity was paramount: the researchers, as Nigerian defense scholars, acknowledged positionalities

attuned to African decolonial sensibilities yet tempered by realist pragmatism, mitigating ethnocentric skews.

Methodological delimitations are candidly acknowledged. Reliance on secondary data circumvents the perils of on-site perils—minefields, surveillance—but invites vicissitudes of interpretive variance, as Moroccan state media amplifies "development triumphs" while Sahrawi outlets foreground "occupation atrocities" (Freedom House, 2025). Ephemeral besets real-time reportage, necessitating cross-verification against UN veridical logs. Absent quantitative metrics—e.g., econometric modeling of phosphate rents' opportunity costs—this study forgoes causal attribution's precision for explanatory depth, aligning with its idiographic ambitions. Ethically, anonymization of vulnerable voices in refugee testimonies upholds do-no-harm imperatives, while open-access sourcing democratizes knowledge dissemination. In sum, this scaffold—historical-analytical in ethos, multi-method in execution—erects a scaffold for dissecting the conflict's ontological enigmas, yielding not mere chronicle but a scaffold for praxiological renewal in an anarchic interstice.

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Various theoretical lenses, such as realism, constructivism, post-colonialism, conflict resolution theory, and human security frameworks, can be applied to analyze the Morocco-Western Sahara conflict. This study adopts the realist theory of international relations as its analytical framework. Realism, a prominent paradigm in International Relations, emphasizes the competitive and conflict-prone nature of global politics. Key proponents, including Hans Morgenthau, Kenneth Waltz, and John Mearsheimer, argue that states are rational actors driven by the pursuit of power and security, often leading to conflicts when interests clash (Morgenthau, 1948; Waltz, 1979; Mearsheimer, 2001).

The Morocco-Western Sahara conflict, as a microcosm of post-colonial territoriality, invites multifarious theoretical incursions—from constructivist deconstructions of Sahrawi ethnopolitics to post-colonial interrogations of hybrid sovereignties—yet this study privileges realism as its lodestar, a paradigm uniquely attuned to the raw mechanics of power that animate state behaviors in ungoverned spaces. Realism, in its classical and structural incarnations, posits the international realm as an Hobbesian anarchy bereft of overarching authority, wherein sovereign states, conceived as unitary rational actors, navigate survival through ceaseless augmentation of capabilities and mitigation of threats (Morgenthau, 1948; Waltz, 1979). Hans Morgenthau's seminal exposition in *Politics Among Nations* delineates power as the "currency of politics," an amoral imperative compelling leaders to subordinate ethical abstractions to national exigencies, while Kenneth Waltz's neorealist structuralism relocates agency to systemic pressures, where bipolar or multipolar configurations dictate balancing or bandwagoning stratagems. John Mearsheimer's offensive realism extends

this, positing states' ineradicable quest for hegemony as a prophylactic against vulnerability, framing conflicts not as aberrations but as inexorable corollaries of self-help logics (Mearsheimer, 2001).

These tenets—state-centrism, privileging the nation-state as the irreducible unit over subaltern collectivities; the primacy of relative gains, wherein absolute prosperity yields to positional supremacy; unassailable sovereignty as the bedrock of autonomy; and the security dilemma, whereby defensive postures ineluctably provoke adversarial escalations—furnish a scalpel for vivisecting the Western Sahara quagmire. Morocco's berm fortifications, a 2,700-km carapace girded by 7 million antipersonnel mines and augmented by Israeli-sourced Bayraktar TB2 drones, exemplify defensive realism's bulwark against encirclement, sequestering phosphate quarries that furnish 37% of Rabat's export revenues and fisheries teeming with sardines valorized at \$500 million yearly, thereby buttressing material puissance and forestalling Polisario salients (Opinio Juris, 2025). This enclosure, however, instantiates the security dilemma's tragic irony: Polisario's 250+ retaliatory drone forays since 2020—culminating in the April 2025 Mijek convoy annihilation and May's lethal strike on two activists—elicit Moroccan countermeasures, including the June 4 drone obliteration of an Algerian truck that teetered on interstate war, perpetuating a vortex of mistrust where each parry begets riposte (Human Rights Watch, 2025; Maghrebi, 2025).

Algeria's Polisario patronage—encompassing \$400 million annual infusions for Tindouf armories, advanced weaponry transfers, and rhetorical fulminations against "Zionist-Moroccan collusion"—mirrors offensive realism's proxy buck-passing, a stratagem to hemorrhage Moroccan resources while preserving Algiers' gas-export hegemony amid the 2021 pipeline severance that rerouted \$18 billion in flows via Spain, inflating Rabat's LNG import costs by 30% (The Conversation, 2025; Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025). This calculus extends to cyber-domain forays, as the April 8 "Oursec" hacks on Moroccan ministries—attributed to Algerian state actors—amplify hybrid asymmetries, underscoring anarchy's corollaries in vitiating MINURSO's impotence (CYFIRMA, 2025). Permanent Five vetoes—U.S. and U.K. endorsements of autonomy in April and June 2025, respectively—prioritize alliance fidelities over equidistant enforcement, evincing realism's institutional cynicism: international organizations as epiphenomenal veils for great-power concert (EJIL: Talk!, 2025).

Realism's heuristic potency thus demystifies the conflict's intractability as a rational confluence of survival heuristics, where Morocco's "development as domination"—\$3.5 billion in Dakhla ports and solar grids—masks settler-colonial consolidation, and Algeria's BRICS overtures veil balance-of-power recalibrations (Modern Diplomacy, 2025). Yet, its lacunae warrant caveat: the marginalization of non-state agential vectors, such as Sahrawi women's intifada or NGO-orchestrated boycotts,

and ideational elisions of historical narratives that construct "Sahrawi-ness" as a counter-hegemonic repertoire, invite adjunctive constructivist infusions for totipotency (Wendt, 1999). Nonetheless, in an epoch of Trumpist realignments—\$5 billion U.S. infusions into phosphate pacts—and Algerian visa impositions on Moroccans, realism's focus on tangible stakes remains indispensable, furnishing not fatalism but a diagnostic for transcending zero-sum impasses through calibrated power-sharing (El País, 2025; LSE Blogs, 2025).

## **HISTORICAL ORIGINS: SPANISH COLONIAL DOMINATION AND THE EMERGENCE OF CONFLICT**

The Morocco-Western Sahara conflict, as a microcosm of post-colonial territoriality, invites multifarious theoretical incursions—from constructivist deconstructions of Sahrawi ethnopolitics to post-colonial interrogations of hybrid sovereignties—yet this study privileges realism as its lodestar, a paradigm uniquely attuned to the raw mechanics of power that animate state behaviors in ungoverned spaces. Realism, in its classical and structural incarnations, posits the international realm as an Hobbesian anarchy bereft of overarching authority, wherein sovereign states, conceived as unitary rational actors, navigate survival through ceaseless augmentation of capabilities and mitigation of threats (Morgenthau, 1948; Waltz, 1979). Hans Morgenthau's seminal exposition in *Politics Among Nations* delineates power as the "currency of politics," an amoral imperative compelling leaders to subordinate ethical abstractions to national exigencies, while Kenneth Waltz's neorealist structuralism relocates agency to systemic pressures, where bipolar or multipolar configurations dictate balancing or bandwagoning stratagems. John Mearsheimer's offensive realism extends this, positing states' ineradicable quest for hegemony as a prophylactic against vulnerability, framing conflicts not as aberrations but as inexorable corollaries of self-help logics (Mearsheimer, 2001).

These tenets—state-centrism, privileging the nation-state as the irreducible unit over subaltern collectivities; the primacy of relative gains, wherein absolute prosperity yields to positional supremacy; unassailable sovereignty as the bedrock of autonomy; and the security dilemma, whereby defensive postures ineluctably provoke adversarial escalations—furnish a scalpel for vivisecting the Western Sahara quagmire. Morocco's berm fortifications, a 2,700-km carapace girded by 7 million antipersonnel mines and augmented by Israeli-sourced Bayraktar TB2 drones, exemplify defensive realism's bulwark against encirclement, sequestering phosphate quarries that furnish 37% of Rabat's export revenues and fisheries teeming with sardines valorized at \$500 million yearly, thereby buttressing material puissance and forestalling Polisario salients (Opinio Juris, 2025). This enclosure, however, instantiates the security dilemma's tragic irony: Polisario's 250+ retaliatory drone forays since 2020—culminating in the April 2025 Mijek convoy annihilation and May's lethal strike on two activists—elicit Moroccan

countermeasures, including the June 4 drone obliteration of an Algerian truck that teetered on interstate war, perpetuating a vortex of mistrust where each parry begets riposte (Human Rights Watch, 2025; Maghrebi, 2025).

Algeria's Polisario patronage—encompassing \$400 million annual infusions for Tindouf armories, advanced weaponry transfers, and rhetorical fulminations against "Zionist-Moroccan collusion"—mirrors offensive realism's proxy buck-passing, a stratagem to hemorrhage Moroccan resources while preserving Algiers' gas-export hegemony amid the 2021 pipeline severance that rerouted \$18 billion in flows via Spain, inflating Rabat's LNG import costs by 30% (The Conversation, 2025; Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025). This calculus extends to cyber-domain forays, as the April 8 "Oursec" hacks on Moroccan ministries—attributed to Algerian state actors—amplify hybrid asymmetries, underscoring anarchy's corollaries in vitiating MINURSO's impotence (CYFIRMA, 2025). Permanent Five vetoes—U.S. and U.K. endorsements of autonomy in April and June 2025, respectively—prioritize alliance fidelities over equidistant enforcement, evincing realism's institutional cynicism: international organizations as epiphenomenal veils for great-power concert (EJIL: Talk!, 2025).

Realism's heuristic potency thus demystifies the conflict's intractability as a rational confluence of survival heuristics, where Morocco's "development as domination"—\$3.5 billion in Dakhla ports and solar grids—masks settler-colonial consolidation, and Algeria's BRICS overtures veil balance-of-power recalibrations (Modern Diplomacy, 2025). Yet, its lacunae warrant caveat: the marginalization of non-state agential vectors, such as Sahrawi women's intifada or NGO-orchestrated boycotts, and ideational elisions of historical narratives that construct "Sahrawi-ness" as a counter-hegemonic repertoire, invite adjunctive constructivist infusions for totipotency (Wendt, 1999). Nonetheless, in an epoch of Trumpist realignments—\$5 billion U.S. infusions into phosphate pacts—and Algerian visa impositions on Moroccans, realism's focus on tangible stakes remains indispensable, furnishing not fatalism but a diagnostic for transcending zero-sum impasses through calibrated power-sharing (El País, 2025; LSE Blogs, 2025).

## **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CONFLICT**

As the Western Sahara dispute lurches toward its 50th anniversary in November 2025, its phenomenology has metamorphosed from desultory limbo to effervescent volatility, a hybrid warfare palimpsest scripted by the November 2020 Guerguerat imbroglio where Polisario blockades of a strategic trade conduit—allegedly abetting Moroccan smuggling—provoked Rabat's armored incursion, shattering the 1991 ceasefire and unleashing an arms race in unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) that has redrawn the berm's sanguinary contours (International Crisis Group, 2025a). Morocco's "autonomist

offensive," a multifaceted blitz of coercive diplomacy and infrastructural blitzkrieg, has amassed inexorable momentum: \$3.5 billion infusions into "Southern Provinces" have birthed Dakhla's Atlantic port—poised to transship \$2 billion in green hydrogen by 2030—and 1 GW solar arrays at Noor Midelt, reframing occupation as eco-utopian vanguardism while luring 28 consulates (UAE's May 2025 inauguration foremost) to Laayoune, intimating creeping *de jure* normalization amid African Union fissures (Opinio Juris, 2025; SPSRASD, 2025).

Western realignments have catalyzed this trajectory: the United Kingdom's June 1, 2025, volte-face—Foreign Secretary David Lammy's Westminster declaration anointing the 2007 autonomy blueprint "the most credible and pragmatic pathway"—was quid pro quo for £10 billion in Rabat's green energy pacts, synchronizing post-Brexit commerce with Sahel securitization, yet igniting Algerian expulsions of British envoys and Polisario's vow of "escalatory reciprocity" (Reuters, 2025a; People's Dispatch, 2025). Paralleling this, Donald Trump's August 2 epistle to King Mohammed VI reaffirmed 2020 sovereignty recognition, tethering it to Abraham Accords synergies and \$2 billion U.S. firm stakes in phosphate refineries, with April's State Department brief under Secretary Rubio dubbing autonomy the "sole viable framework," prognosticating \$5 billion DFC investments in Moroccan-Saharan ventures by 2026 (Reuters, 2025b; El País, 2025; House of Commons Library, 2025). These endorsements, echoed in the UN General Assembly's June 10 polarized colloquy—84 delegations inclining Moroccan versus 28 Sahrawi—have emboldened Rabat's settler tourism surge, with 2025's "Dakhla Discovery" campaigns commodifying dunes for European elites, per critiques of "development as domination" (United Nations, 2025; Opinio Juris, 2025).

Polisario ripostes have veered toward technological asymmetria, with 250+ Iranian-supplied Shahed-136 sorties (2020–2025) pummeling berm sentinels, epitomized by the April 24 Mahbes outpost inferno that felled 12 Moroccan troops, countered by Bayraktar TB2 precision strikes: the April Mijek convoy evisceration liquidating a senior "Sixth Region" commander, May 16's Tifariti raid slaying two medics (condemned by Adala UK), and June 4's northeastern truck immolation teetering Algiers-Rabat toward conflagration (Security Council Report, 2025; Hespress, 2025; Adala UK, 2025; Maghrebi, 2025). MINURSO's April forecast tallied 50+ violations, including Bir Lahroul interceptions, as de Mistura's shuttle yielded desultory "proximity talks" hamstrung by P5 schisms (Security Council Report, 2025). Algeria's escalatory retorts—2021 ties severance's aftershocks, \$2 billion gas pipeline foreclosures, and April 8 "Oursec" cyber barrages crippling Moroccan e-governance—framed as "defensive solidarity" in Tebboune's June harangue, have amplified hybrid perils, with September's Moroccan visa reciprocity on Algerians exacerbating diaspora fractures (CYFIRMA, 2025; LSE Blogs, 2025). The AU's 50th Addis Ababa Summit marginalized the dossier despite Algerian lobbying, Kenya's endorsement splintering Pan-Africanism, portending Moroccan

consolidation yet harbouring Sahel jihadist spillovers—JNIM's February FPV drone IEDs in Djibo echoing berm tactics—and EU migration pacts' unravelling (Crisis Group, 2025b; Africa Center, 2025). These 2025 vicissitudes—diplomatic jackpots juxtaposed against kinetic fusillades—herald a *fait accompli* teetering on abyss, demanding pre-emptive multilateral arbitrage.

## **TERRITORIAL CLAIMS AND STALEMATE**

The territorial sinews of the Western Sahara impasse are tautened by duelling juridical architectures: Morocco's 2007 Autonomy Initiative, a devolutionary prospectus envisioning Sahrawi-led executives, parliaments, and judiciaries subordinate to Rabat's diwan, as a "third way" panacea preempting secessionist fissiparities, buttressed by \$4 billion "Southern Provinces" disbursements that have metastasized Laayoune into a phosphate entrepôt—OCP's Bou Craa conveyor belting 3 million tons yearly—and Dakhla's aerotropolis luring Qatar Airways hubs (Zoubir, 2010). This blueprint, lauded in 2025's U.S. and U.K. briefs as "pragmatic realism," deploys economic sinews—\$1.2 billion fisheries quotas, renewable gigs—to sediment settler demographics, with 2025's 150,000 Moroccan transplants diluting indigenous 600,000 Sahrawis, per ICJ precedents on self-determination (ZIF Berlin, 2025; House of Commons Library, 2025). Polisario's excoriation, yoking fealty to James Baker's 2003 Plan II—a sequenced autonomy trailing a confirmatory referendum on five options, from independence to confederation—denounces it as neocolonial ventriloquism, invoking *uti possidetis* juris to sacralize 1975 borders and ICJ's 1975 disavowal of Moroccan allegiances, thereby petrifying the dyad wherein MINURSO's 250 blue-helmets, hemmed by berm patrols, superintend a mined Maginot bisecting kin networks and caravan trails (Shelley, 2004; United Nations Peacekeeping, 2025).

This ossified dyad begets spasmodic sanguinities—2025's Lebuirat Essoda skirmish claiming 20—and humanitarian desiccation: Tindouf's 90,000 minors grapple 40% anemia, zero girls' secondary matriculation, and UNHCR's \$100 million shortfall amid flash-flood orphanages, while Moroccan zones' 150+ 2025 prosecutions under anti-terror pretexts—torture-logged by OHCHR, silencing 20 Gdeim Izik commemorations via truncheon charges—entrench a panopticon repressing Haulala chants (Harvard Human Rights Program, 2025; Freedom House, 2025). The berm's 7 million ordnances, a "wall of shame" per Amnesty, imperil 30,000 annual transits, fragmenting Sahrawi pastoralisms and obviating equitable husbandry of 1.5 million-hectare rangelands, perpetuating a sovereignty-security Möbius where resource curses—untapped Canaries Basin oils estimated at 3.5 billion barrels—fuel militarized stasis, demanding juridical arbitrages beyond binary impasses (Mundy, 2006).

## **REGIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE CONFLICT**

Algeria's Polisario aegis—\$400 million yearly succor blending arms, Tindouf cantonments, and UNGA filibusters—alchemizes Western Sahara into a Maghreb seismograph, where anti-colonial catechisms dissimulate zero-sum jousts over Transmed gas arteries and Mediterranean littorals, crystallized in 2021's diplomatic rupture, 2025's September Moroccan visa retaliations on Algerians, and border cyber fusillades like Oursec's April e-governance decapitations (The Conversation, 2025; CYFIRMA, 2025; LSE Blogs, 2025). Episodic frictions—June's Algerian truck immolation auguring inadvertent Widening, per Crisis Group—eviscerate the Arab Maghreb Union's \$100 billion intra-regional trade latency, amplifying Sahel contagions where jihadists—JNIM's February Djibo FPV drones—exploit vacuums birthed by Moroccan legions' southern redeployments (Crisis Group, 2024; Africa Center, 2025). Pipeline strictures since 2021 have vectored \$18 billion Algerian exports Mediterranean-ward via Spain, spiking Rabat's LNG tariffs 30% and catalyzing 2025's French wheat boycott that hiked Algiers' bread subsidies \$500 million, while AU cleavages—SADR's 1982 ingress clashing Morocco's 2017 readmission and Kenya's June volte-face—eviscerate continental arbitrage, arraying 28 pro-Sahrawi redoubts against 37 autonomist phalanges (SPSRASD, 2025; Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025).

U.S. 2020 recognitions, reiterated in Rubio's April 2025 brief and Trump's August paean, strain Algiers-Washington sinews—Tebboune's BRICS overtures portending realignments—complicating Operation Barkhane's ISIS counterpoises, as Moroccan-Israeli Pegasus sales to Rabat surveil Algerian dissidents (Modern Diplomacy, 2025; LUISS, 2025). This bifurcation—economic statecraft as geopolitical cudgel—heralds a sundered North Africa, where overlapping spheres presage inadvertent clashes, imperiling Mediterranean energy corridors and EU frontier pacts amid migratory surges from Tindouf's despair.

## PATHWAYS TOWARD RESOLUTION: UN-MEDIATED NEGOTIATIONS

MINURSO's 1991 nativity, per Resolution 690's blueprint for voter verification and plebiscitary orchestration, envisioned a 1992 denouement yet founder on eligibility labyrinths and Baker II's 2003 rebuff—Polisario's qualified assent to its five-option sequencing eclipsed by Morocco's demurral of independence's viability—heralding perennial prorogations, with 2025's October mandate elongation underscoring bureaucratic torpor amid 50+ violations (United Nations Peacekeeping, 2025; Security Council Report, 2025). Staffan de Mistura's 2021 envoy investiture has midwifed "proximity parleys" in Geneva and Addis, yet flounders on P5 vetoes—U.S. April diktat of autonomy as "sole basis" per ZIF briefs—and Algerian non-starters, as Tebboune's June vetoes of Moroccan "provocations" stymie tabling (ZIF Berlin, 2025; Maghrebi, 2025). Salients abound: P5 impartiality covenants under Article 24, EU dangles—€5 billion green accords contingent on berm deminings—and AU tandemry harmonizing self-determination shibboleths with pragmatic devolutions, per 2025 Summit's Eight

Priorities (Crisis Group, 2025b; United Nations, 2025). Pitfalls loom in irreducibles—Polisario's referendum sine qua non, Rabat's suzerainty redlines—and external meddlers, from French OCP stakes to Iranian Shahed largesse.

Hybrid realism-liberal confluences—fusing power concessions like resource royalties (30% Sahrawi tranche) with institutional carapaces akin Namibia's 1989 UNTAG—could catalyze partition: phased CBMs (drone no-fly zones, OHCHR-embedded monitors), economic peace dividends (\$2 billion U.S. infusions tied to fiduciary), and quadrilateral forums (Rabat-Algiers-Polisario-UN) under AU auspices, leveraging de Mistura's shuttlecraft for voter-neutral algorithms (Atlantic Council, 2025; Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2025). Such architectures, transcending binary impasses, evoke Oslo's graduated reciprocities, demanding audacious leadership to midwife a confederated Sahara buffering Maghreb bipolarities.

### **Conclusion**

The Morocco-Western Sahara conundrum, a spectral residue of colonial partitions and neorealist agonistics, persists as North Africa's paramount security conundrum, meting out inexorable human tolls—173,000 exiles' desiccation, 160 drone-slain civilians, 150 tortured activists—and geopolitical rifts amid 2025's endorsement cascades and kinetic spasms. Realism's lancet lays bare Rabat's berm carapaces and phosphate enclosures as rational puissance accretions, Algiers' proxy buck-passings as counter-hegemonic prophylactics, yet whispers resolution's imperative through equilibrating concessions: resource royalties, devolved suzerainties, demilitarized interstices. UN stewardship, shored by P5 buy-ins and AU-Arab League synergies, endures as fulcrum; surmounting entrenchments exacts venturesome diplomacy—voter algorithms, CBM moratoriums—and equitable onus-sharing, forging a stable Maghreb where decolonial phantoms yield to cooperative sovereignties, lest Sahel contagions and migratory tsunamis engulf the littoral.

### **Recommendations:**

1. **Renewed Diplomatic Engagement:** Convene inclusive quadrilateral forums (Morocco, Polisario, Algeria, UN) under AU auspices, leveraging de Mistura's good offices for voter-neutral mechanisms.
2. **International Monitoring Mechanism:** Augment MINURSO with OHCHR-embedded observers to certify rights compliance, drawing on 2025 GA precedents.
3. **Support for UN Mediation:** P5 states furnish unconditional logistics, tying aid to ceasefire fidelity per Security Council Report modalities.

4. **Demilitarization and Confidence-Building Measures:** Phased berm demining and drone moratoriums, piloted via EU-funded CBMs, to abate tensions.
5. **Regional Cooperation:** Revive AMU through economic corridors, incentivizing Algeria-Morocco détente via shared Sahel stabilization.
6. **Multilateral Engagement:** AU-Arab League tandemry to harmonize self-determination norms with autonomy pragmatics, per 2025 Summit aspirations.

## References

Adala UK. (2025, May 17). Adala UK condemns Moroccan drone strike that killed two Sahrawi civilians. <https://adala.uk/?p=5836>.

Africa Center. (2025, April 21). Military drone proliferation marks destabilizing shift in Africa's conflicts. <https://africacenter.org/spotlight/drone-proliferation-africa-destabilizing/>

Al Jazeera. (2025). Western Sahara: Latest developments on the ground. <https://www.aljazeera.com/where/western-sahara/>

Atlantic Council. (2025, April 9). Why it's time to terminate the UN's dysfunctional mission in Western Sahara. <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/menasource/why-its-time-to-terminate-the-uns-dysfunctional-mission-in-western-sahara/>

Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. (2025, July 22). Economic statecraft as geopolitical strategy: New dimensions of Moroccan-Algerian rivalry. <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2025/07/economic-statecraft-as-geopolitical-strategy-moroccan-algerian-rivalry?lang=en>

Council on Foreign Relations. (2025, December 18). What does the Western Sahara conflict mean for Africa? <https://www.cfr.org/in-brief/what-does-western-sahara-conflict-mean-africa>

Crisis Group. (2024, November 29). Managing tensions between Algeria and Morocco. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/middle-east-north-africa/north-africa/247-algeria-morocco-western-sahara/managing-tensions-between>

Crisis Group. (2025a). Western Sahara: Clashes and the end of ceasefire. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/middle-east-north-africa/north-africa/western-sahara>

Crisis Group. (2025b). Eight priorities for the African Union in 2025. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/africa/african-union-regional-bodies/b205-eight-priorities-african-union-2025>

CYFIRMA. (2025, May 6). Explainer: The Algeria/Morocco tensions. <https://www.cyfirma.com/research/explainer-the-algeria-morocco-tensions/>

EJIL: Talk!. (2025, July 22). The Security Council and the future of Western Sahara: With a group of friends like these. <https://www.ejiltalk.org/the-security-council-and-the-future-of-western-sahara-with-a-group-of-friends-like-these/>

El País. (2025, August 5). US exploring an economic solution to Western Sahara conflict after 50 years of stalemate. <https://english.elpais.com/international/2025-08-05/us-exploring-an-economic-solution-to-western-sahara-conflict-after-50-years-of-stalemate.html>

Freedom House. (2025). Western Sahara: Freedom in the world 2025 country report. <https://freedomhouse.org/country/western-sahara/freedom-world/2025>

Harvard Human Rights Program. (2025, September 8). Fifty years in exile: Human rights and the forgotten crisis in Western Sahara. <https://hrp.law.harvard.edu/fifty-years-in-exile-human-rights-and-the-forgotten-crisis-in-western-sahara/>

Hespress. (2025). Moroccan drone strike kills senior Polisario commander near buffer zone. <https://en.hespress.com/110866-moroccan-drone-strike-kills-senior-polisario-commander-near-buffer-zone.html>

Hodges, T. (1983). Western Sahara: The roots of a desert war. Lawrence Hill Books.

House of Commons Library. (2025, June 12). Western Sahara. <https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-9906/>

Human Rights Watch. (2025). World report 2025: Morocco and Western Sahara. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2025/country-chapters/morocco-and-western-sahara>

International Crisis Group. (2025a). Western Sahara: Clashes and the end of ceasefire. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/middle-east-north-africa/north-africa/western-sahara>

LSE Blogs. (2025, September 8). Morocco and Algeria's deteriorating relationship is holding North Africa back. <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/africaatlse/2025/09/08/morocco-and-algerias-deteriorating-relationship-is-holding-north-africa-back/>

LUISS. (2025, July 2). Sleepwalkers into war: Are Algeria and Morocco on the path to conflict? <https://mp.luiss.it/archives/sleepwalkers-into-warare-algeria-and-morocco-on-the-path-to-conflict/>

Maghrebi. (2025, June 5). Sahara: Algeria-Morocco tensions flare after deadly drone strike. <https://maghrebi.org/2025/06/05/sahara-algeria-morocco-tensions-flare-after-deadly-drone-strike/>

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). The tragedy of great power politics. W.W. Norton & Company.

Modern Diplomacy. (2025, July 20). Why does the Algerian state harbor such deep hostility toward Morocco? <https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2025/07/20/why-does-the-algerian-state-harbor-such-deep-hostility-toward-morocco/>

Morgenthau, H. J. (1948). Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace. Alfred A. Knopf.

Morocco World News. (2025, August 24). Western Sahara: UN Secretary-General warns of 'unsustainable status quo' as dispute approaches 50-year mark. <https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2025/08/254197/western-sahara-un-secretary-general-warns-of-unsustainable-status-quo-as-dispute-approaches-50-year-mark/>

Mundy, J. (2006). Autonomy & intifadah: New horizons in Western Saharan nationalism. *Review of African Political Economy*, 33(108), 255–267.

Opinio Juris. (2025, May 23). Development as domination: Settler tourism in Western Sahara and the commodification of occupied territories in Trumpist international law. <https://opiniojuris.org/2025/05/23/development-as-domination-settler-tourism-in-western-sahara-and-the-commodification-of-occupied-territories-in-trumpist-international-law/>

People's Dispatch. (2025, June 5). UK and Kenya endorse Morocco's autonomy plan, undermining Western Sahara's right to self-determination. <https://peoplesdispatch.org/2025/06/05/uk-and-kenya-endorse-moroccos-autonomy-plan-undermining-western-saharas-right-to-self-determination/>

Reuters. (2025a, June 1). UK backs Morocco's autonomy plan for Western Sahara, Lammy says. <https://www.reuters.com/world/africa/uk-backs-moroccos-autonomy-plan-western-sahara-lammy-says-2025-06-01/>

Reuters. (2025b, August 2). Trump reaffirms support for Morocco's sovereignty over Western Sahara. <https://www.reuters.com/world/africa/trump-reaffirms-support-moroccos-sovereignty-over-western-sahara-2025-08-02/>

Security Council Report. (2025, March 31). Western Sahara, April 2025 monthly forecast. <https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/monthly-forecast/2025-04/western-sahara-14.php>

Shelley, T. (2004). Endgame in the Western Sahara: What future for Africa's last colony? Zed Books.

SPSRASD. (2025, June 10). Sahrawi Republic participates in 50th ordinary session of the African Union Permanent Representatives' Committee. <https://www.spsrasd.info/en/2025/06/10/10207.html>

Taylor & Francis. (2025). The Western Sahara conflict in a post-hegemonic world. *Journal of North African Studies*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/fnas20/current>

The Conversation. (2025, August 18). Western Sahara: Why Algeria supports the Sahrawi's right to govern themselves. <https://theconversation.com/western-sahara-why-algeria-supports-the-sahrawis-right-to-govern-themselves-260719>

United Nations. (1991). UN Security Council Resolution 690. [https://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view\\_doc.asp?symbol=S/RES/690\(1991\)](https://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/RES/690(1991))

United Nations. (2025, June 10). Some speakers support Morocco's claim over Western Sahara during General Assembly debate. <https://press.un.org/en/2025/gacol3390.doc.htm>

United Nations Peacekeeping. (2025). MINURSO: United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara. <https://peacekeeping.un.org/en/mission/minurso>

Waltz, K. N. (1979). Theory of international politics. Addison-Wesley.

Wendt, A. (1999). Social theory of international politics. Cambridge University Press.

ZIF Berlin. (2025, April 8). US says Moroccan proposal should be sole basis for Western Sahara talks. <https://www.zif-berlin.org/en/west-sahara>

Zoubir, Y. H. (2010). The Western Sahara conflict: Regional and international dimensions. *The Journal of North African Studies*, 15(2), 208–222.

Zoubir, Y. H. (2020). The Sahara conflict: The role of the United Nations and the regional and international dimensions. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02589001.2020.1771718>

Zunes, S., & Mundy, J. (2010). Western Sahara: War, nationalism, and conflict irresolution. Syracuse University Press.